SCINTILLAE
JURIS
AND
MEDITATIONS
IN THE
TEAROOM

BY THE HON. MR. JUSTICE DARLING

Ex Libris
C. K. OGDEN



# THE LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES

sh by! la redix



SCINTILLAE JURIS AND MEDITATIONS IN THE TEA ROOM



### AUTHOR'S NOTE

THESE LITTLE BOOKS WERE Author's published anonymously and have Note long been out of print; they are now, for the first time, published together, as they are in some sort complementary.

It has been thought well to indicate who they were whose decisions are travestied in the chapter "Of Judges"—for they have all passed away, and their idiosyncrasies are no longer familiar to the frequenters of the

Courts.

"Meditations in the Tea Room" were published some years before their author entered the House of Commons.



SCINTILLAE JURIS & BY THE HON. MR. JUSTICE DARLING WITH PREFATORY NOTE BY THE RIGHT HONBLE. SIR EDWARD CLARKE, K.C.

LONDON: STEVENS & HAYNES LAW PUBLISHERS BELL YARD TEMPLE BAR \* MCMXIV

SIXTH EDITION

## PREFATORY NOTE

THE PUBLISHERS HAVING Prefatory desired a Prefatory Note to this Note new edition, my friend the author of "Scintillae Juris" and the "Meditations in the Tea Room" has asked me to write a short introduction to the volume in which these two books

appear together.

Whether any such introduction is needed, and whether I am the proper person to write it, were questions for his decision. His judgments are very seldom overruled, and in this case I willingly submit. If any words of introduction are to be spoken there is perhaps some fitness in my selection to discharge that pleasant duty. For he and I have for many years been comrades in both professional and political life, and have both refused to allow the claims of politics or law to exclude us from the delights of literature.

The lines in which Sir Charles Darling described Mr. Justice Talfourd may not unfitly be applied to himself :

"Judge, but not merely a Judgethough learned, not lawyer entirely: Orator, maker of laws, had he beenlikewise maker of verses."

ix

Prefatory These two books represent the out-Note look upon public affairs and upon the

work of our courts of justice of a shrewd and well-stored intellect, endowed with a quality which Talfourd did not possess, the dangerous and

delightful gift of humour.

"Scintillae Juris" was published a good many years ago, and has for some time been out of print; copies being only obtainable of late at a good deal of trouble and expense. Some of its contents—the five judgments given in its early pages-cannot be enjoyed by the younger lawyers among us as they were by those who were familiar with the judicial utterances so pleasantly satirised. When they first appeared the names now given at the foot of the page were quite unnecessary, and each of the victims recognised, except in his own case, the truth of the But all the rest of the caricature. scintillae are as bright as ever, and the pages on examination and crossexamination, and witnesses, and evidence, contain hints as to the conduct of a case which any intelligent young barrister will find very useful.

The "Meditations in the Tea Room," although first published some years

before the author entered the House of Prefatory Commons, are strongly tinged with the Note

cynicism which comes naturally to one who spends years as a private member of the House of Commons, a place where the opportunities for public usefulness seem so large, and where the actual results of hard work are so very small. "Sir," said Mr. Disraeli to a new member who was presented to him, "you will find the House of Commons a very dull place—with its brilliant moments." Those brilliant moments are indeed delightful, and sometimes come unexpectedly to reward the diligence of the patient sitter through the hours of dulness; but when they have been foreseen the chamber is so crowded that no one but the Speaker and the Sergeant-at-arms has a comfortable seat. No wonder that there are scoffers in the smoking room and cynics in the tea room. The author of these Meditations has here set down, in less than a hundred pages, many wise and weighty suggestions on political topics. I do not say, as is so commonly said of books, that they will repay perusal, for where perusal is itself a pleasure no repayment is needed, but I commend them to all students of the Prefatory larger questions of political science as Note worthy of careful and deliberate consideration. They are suggestions which well deserve to be supplemented and applied by the readers to whose friendly companionship I now introduce this book.

### EDWARD CLARKE

Peterhouse, Staines

[une 1914]

### **AUTHOR'S PREFACE**

"EST OPERAE PRETIUM DU- Author's plicis pernoscere juris naturam," Preface says Horace. I believe he wrote thus concerning soup, but his remark applies very well to the kind of jus served out in our Courts of Law.

The following trifling essays are intended for no more than mere hints to facilitate the compounding of our duplex just according to the most approved recipes. They are, like other culinary directions, designed for the information of the cooks only, and not for the enlightenment of those who are to partake of the broth.



# CONTENTS

Author's Note	Page
Prefatory Note by Sir Edward Clarke	ix
Author's Preface	xiii
Of Laws	I
Of International Law	7
Of Judges	9
Of Courts	29
Of Prisoners	33
Of Telling a Story	41
Of Examining in Chief	49
Of Witnesses	55
Of Cross-Examination	бі
Of Evidence	71
Of Sentences	77
Of Advocacy	85
Of Maxims	93
Of Footpaths	99
Cras Animarum	107



WITH LAWS WE HAVE Of Laws small concern until they have

VV small concern until they have been contemned, or set at nought. Of the law of gravitation we know that it exists, and the same of the law of entail, yet a practical lawyer has little more occasion to inquire into the reasons which led to the passing of the statute De Donis than an artilleryman has to read Genesis. To know how restraints came to be imposed helps us but little to remove them; and a barrister spends his time to better purpose when he observes the conduct of men who infringe laws than when he studies the motives of those who make them.

It has often happened that eminent advocates have been poor lawyers, and great jurists bad advocates; and this need not seem strange to us, if we consider that many men have broken a hundred laws, who, nevertheless, have not understood one. It is in getting a verdict, as in getting anything else; you will obtain it the more easily if you know of no reason why you should not.

As he who should write on military affairs would speak little of quarrels, and much of weapons, strategy, and Scintillae tactics, I shall devote but a short space Juris to the examination of laws, seeing that they are to be regarded as a sort of corpus vile to be tugged hither and thither, like the body of Valerius, when

. . . "Titus dragged him by the foot, And Aulus by the head."

Still, as Macaulay informed us of one or two matters relating to Valerius—his home, and political sympathies—I shall not neglect the nature of laws

altogether.

And, first, let us notice that all laws, even the most democratic, are designed to prevent equality—which is chaos. For, as before the elements were subiected to law, before the waters and the land were divided from one another, all was but mud, so, were it not for our customs and statutes, society would have no foundation—as we may say; no dregs or "residuum"-as it has pleased a prominent politician to denominate those who cannot return him to Parliament. When we call people base, we intend not to compliment them, but we then recognise their value; which is to us what the tortoise. on whom stands the elephant who

carries the world, is to the heathen Of Laws

philosophy which invented him.

Foundations, being indispensable, become proud of their position. The meanest hind in this kingdom delights to proclaim that "an Englishman's house is his castle"; yet what is this but saying that, for himself, he is at liberty to die in a ditch, if it be not roofed over.

To say I may possess this or that, is to forbid all the world beside to touch it till I am willing to give it away. It is like the amusement of putting a piece of cheese on the nose of a dog, who, though all impatient and hungry, waits till I give him leave before he ventures to swallow it.

The world has long ago agreed that for each man to be able to say of everything, "This is mine," is not nearly so enjoyable as for all to be allowed to say of something, "This is not thine"—even though the portion separately possessed be of the smallest. One of two tenants in common of a thousand acres owns every part of that thousand, yet he has not, I am sure, nearly so much pleasure from his land as he who is separately possessed of five hundred—for although he can say, "It is my

Scintillae own," he cannot proceed, "and nobody Juris else's." The pleasure of having property lies more in the excluding from it of others than in the occupation of it by

of others than in the occupation of it by ourselves. Few are they who wish to till the soil, but many desire to "nationalise the land" for the joy to be got in expropriating those who now hold it.

And herein lies the chief enjoyment to be had from the making of gifts; that, whereas the quiet and continued possession of anything is not a striking manner of asserting dominion over it, the fact that you part with any property proclaims that you possess it, and your bestowing it on some one of your choice involves the pleasure of refusing it to all whom you do not endow.

As every enactment must, of necessity, be a check upon some passion, or predilection of human nature, it is prudent not to attribute much force to a new law, but to wait until it has been assented to by judicial interpretation before one entertains great respect for it. There never yet was a tyrant who did not rule by the submission of his subjects. Majorities can only be enslaved when they prefer servitude to resistance; and it is to no purpose to command that men shall do what they

have not a mind to. Let it be decreed Of Laws

to-day that all men shall be just, and by to-morrow it will have been decided that they are so "within the meaning of the Act"; for, though the contrary would be the truth, humanity could

not bear to pronounce it.

The general popularity of the laws may well astonish us, when we remember that they are a restraint upon, and constant menace to, us all. They are, indeed, a kind of whips; and would, perhaps, not be endured by the community, were it not for that arrangement of ours, by which, when one of the public is to undergo the pain of a flogging, twelve of his fellows have the pleasure of laying on the lash.

I cannot avoid noticing here an error into which they fall who complain of the uncertainty of law, as though it were a weakness. Rather should it be considered the chiefest of all sanctions; for trial is often more dreadful than punishment, as sickness is painful, while the article of death is no more than the cessation of pain. If we examine closely, I believe we shall find that all men fear to be ill, and to be dead, but that no one fears dying. Though many people do voluntarily slay them-

Scintillae selves, yet they are always accounted Juris mad; and that not because they have

undergone the pain of extinguishing life-for they may have fled from the toothache - but because they have rushed into another state of existence, where they know not but they may be troubled with ten toothaches at once.

If death were simply non-entity, all would seek it who had less enjoyment than that which has no feeling; thus, unless a man delighted in unhappiness-which I think some do-he had better kill himself painlessly, to escape infelicity, than live painfully to endure it. We see then that some sanction is necessary to prevent the depopulation of a world so full of misery as this; and we find that the sanction provided is uncertainty.

This brings us back—after a circuitous, but not unprofitable, voyageto our terminus a quo; for uncertainty is the direct result of ignorance, and we have seen that the continuance of life itself depends mainly on our partial want of knowledge-and that which preserves our existence promotes also the observance of our laws. would dare to do wrong, did they know for certain what would follow.

THEY ARE GREATLY MIS- Of Intertaken who refuse to admit—national as very many do—that there is, properly speaking, no such thing as International Law. The fact is, that there is a Code recognised all the world over, in accordance with which the Judges (i.e., the Sovereigns) and the jurors (i.e., the peoples) of every country invariably give their decisions.

For the convenience of those who may have in their law libraries only the ten commandments, and perhaps some odd volumes by Grotius or Pufendorff, I will here set out the whole of this International Code in its integrity:

"La raison du plus fort est toujours la

meilleure."

The decided cases illustrating this doctrine are as consistent as they are numerous—and therefore one or two citations only may suffice. See Bianchi v. Neri; Indian and African and American Cases—also Angli v. Angelos; Foreign Reports, passim.



T IS A NATURAL RESULT OF Of Judges

the laws not being understood by those who make them, that persons of legislative capacity should be employed in their interpretation and improvement. Wherefore, it is expedient to understand the decided cases; but this cannot be done without examining closely the personal characteristics of

those who decide them.

This is admitted by the Judges themselves, who, though they would swoon or commit you, should you attempt to read the report of a speech in Parliament, in order to show what is called the intention of the legislature, will, nevertheless, in dealing with a reported case, frequently say, "Ah, I happen to know that my learned brother lived to repent of that judgment. It does not express his later views"; or, "My brother was hardly orthodox in railway cases."

Now, as in the Parliament there are members whose contributions to the statute book are all of one sort, so it is

with the legislation of the Bench.

<sup>&</sup>quot;'Tis with our judgments as our watches, none

Go just alike, yet each believes his own."

Scintillae Any one who will may satisfy him-Juris self, by taking down a volume of reports, old or new, that any given Judge will run in a particular direction if he

fairly can.

There are, however, so many who will not give themselves the trouble of looking into the books, that I shall here present a judgment or two, which I have extracted from the mass, as being peculiarly characteristic of the Judges who delivered them. It is, I think, unnecessary that I should furnish references to the sources from which these examples are drawn, since they must already be familiar to all who have the regular reports.

The following judgment was delivered by a learned Judge\* in Thimble-

rig v. Hookey:-

"This action was brought to recover damages for having been called a villain—and the Plaintiff alleges, somewhat boldly as I think, that on that account his friends have deserted him. But I hope I may be allowed to say that, in my humble opinion, such of his acquaintance as I had the advantage of seeing, when they came as witnesses at the trial, would rather cease to associate

<sup>\*</sup> Lord Coleridge, L.C.J.

with the Plaintiff if they thought he did Of Judges not deserve the title the Defendant

not deserve the title the Defendant had bestowed upon him than if they believed he did; and besides, I think—I speak for myself—I think it can be no loss to any man, but rather a distinct gain, to be deprived of the consort of such friends as the Plaintiff appears to have been—ahem!—blessed with.

"As to the term villain or villein for it nowhere is shown which spelling the Defendant intended—let us consider whether, as applied to the Plaintiff, it is a defamatory word or not.

"A villein, if I have not forgotten my Oxford learning, was one who did odd jobs—and so does the Plaintiff, very. A villein carried food to the pigs—but the Plaintiff is a tout, and supplies sporting intelligence to the vulgar. The villein was dependent on a lord, and was his 'man'—the Plaintiff hangs on to severalnoble peers, yet I hardly call him a man—'Homo sum: humani nihil a me alienum puto'; but as to what I think of the Plaintiff—well, I say nothing.

"Now, to put a, perhaps, somewhat extravagant hypothesis, even if the Plaintiff be not a villain, I cannot see evidence that the Defendant called him so of malice, for may he not well have

Scintillae been deceived by the Plaintiff's appear-Juris ance?

"I am far, very far, from being satisfied that the Defendant maliciously called the Plaintiff what he did eventually call him. His conduct was very probably the result of sincere belief, and—if I may venture to use the words of a poet whom I, perhaps, should not name—

'And gentle wishes long subdued, Subdued and cherished long!'

"I shall assuredly not disturb the finding of the jury; not, I would say, because I have more than a becoming respect for verdicts, but because, all things considered, I have even less for the Plaintiff.

"It has been said at the bar that by this decision the Plaintiff will lose his character. Well, then, be it so. I can only say, in his own interest, that I sincerely hope he may; better were it to have no character than his present one.

"It has also been pathetically observed that he will be made a beggar; but, when that time has arrived, no one will any longer have a right to say—nor do I say it now—that his property

consists of money which he has dis- Of Judges honestly come by.

"The Defendant must have judgment, with costs, if he can get them."

A case in the books, much less noticed than I think it deserves to be, is that of Gules v. Saltire, which resulted in a judgment so interesting and important as to be a sufficient excuse for my here reproducing it.

John Sinister had died, leaving a will which contained a bequest in the follow-

ing words :-

"I give and bequeath my tortoise-shell snuff-box, and one dozen of my silver teaspoons—videlicet the fiddle-pattern ones

-to my father."

Now John Sinister was indebted for his existence to William Saltire—the Respondent — and a certain Mary Chevron; but, whether from conscientious objections, or forgetfulness, or pressing engagements, I know not, it happened that these two persons had never been married.

The question which—having first been declared by a Vice-Chancellor to be no question at all, and then decided in favour of William Saltire—at length came before the Court of Appeal at Scintillae Lincoln's Inn, was, whether Saltire was Juris entitled to the said goods as being the

father of the testator.

The following judgment was delivered :- \* "Immoral, but not unusually immoral, has been the conduct of William Saltire; filial, legitimately filial, the testamentary behaviour of John Sinister.—A son born in wedlock is enjoined by the law to support his father, if support be necessary to his declining years.—But the solicitous generosity of Sinister continues beyond the threshold of the tomb; and if Saltire must go without this filial aid, it is because, by reason of his own unkind neglect, his genealogical tree is but platanus coelebs, and must stand alone, till, covered with the hoary frosts of age, and beaten by the adverse winds of litigation, it fall, a ligneous ruin, to the ground!

"It is fully admitted that if Saltire be in law the father of Sinister, he is then entitled to enjoy his substance; just as Saturn devoured his children, and as many an old man since has lived upon his son. But is the Respondent the father of the testator? I declare,

unhesitatingly, that he is not.

<sup>\*</sup> Sir W. M. James, L.J.

"A man born in such an informal Of Judges way as John Sinister, is said by the law to be nullius filius; and I, if he be the

son of nobody, find it not less difficult to point out the father of such a man than to put my finger upon the mother

of Pallas Athênê.

"I have read that it is the custom in the Empire of Cathay to ennoble his ancestors where we should make a man a peer. Suppose the testator—being already nullius filius—to have been a Chinaman as well. Whose name then would the vermilion pencil have traced upon the roll of that antediluvian nobility? Would William Saltire have taken his place amongst those posthumous peers?

"It is plain, it is palpable, that we are forbidden by the law to say that the testator was the son of any man. 'The common law only taketh him to be a son whom the marriage proveth to be so,' to quote the words of a treatise whose high authority is hardly equalled

by its even higher antiquity.

"Here, however, there was no marriage at all; and, therefore, I am of opinion, clearly and distinctly, that it is not allowable to say that John Sinister was a son. Consequently he was not

Scintillae even nullius filius, but rather nullius Juris filius. Now, though he clearly was not a son, I must proceed to consider whether, in law, he had a father.

"It is by no means sufficient that William Saltire was a father, as a conscript father, or a father of lies—colloquial expressions prove nothing but their own utter nonsense—he must have been John Sinister's father in law; \* but, if this relationship were established, Sinister would be Saltire's son, and this is impossible, for he is not a son at all, as we have already very sufficiently seen.

"It is in no way material to inquire whether, in these circumstances, it was possible for the testator to have had a mother; but I am bold to declare that, were it necessary, I should most certainly hold that he was an orphan ab

initio.

"It is gratifying, most gratifying, to know that *John Sinister* has found the conclusion to the long dilemma of his life, and that now, after the close of his

<sup>\*</sup> The learned Judge's language is here, I am afraid, open to misapprehension. This position certainly cannot be maintained if we insert two hyphens—and perhaps is not unassailable if we omit them.

isolated existence, he at last reposes in Of Judges the arms of his only legitimate parent—his mother Earth.

"The decision of the Court below cannot be sustained. Our judgment is for the Appellant—with the usual consequences."

I shall now give a few passages from a certain judgment delivered in the well-known case *Graviped* v. *Curricle*. A man had been knocked down and run over by a horse and cart, wherefore he brought his action for damages.

After making a terrible exhibition of the pleadings, and indulging in some pleasing recollections of special demurrers, the learned Baron \* proceeds

thus :-

"The Plaintiff must have been in the way, otherwise he would not have been run over. Now, the cart was going very fast, or it was not. If not, the Plaintiff should either have got out of the way, or never have got in. I care not which; nor need any one else. But, if it were going at a great speed, what must be the cause of that? Why, I say why—because it is certain—why,

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Baron Bramwell, afterwards Lord of Appeal.

Scintillae the impulsiveness of the horse, for no Juris vehicle can draw itself. Now, is the

Defendant to be held responsible for that? There is no evidence that he caused it; as by tying a firework to the animal's tail-which indeed was a short one; or by driving with a goad, or trident, for a whip. The impulsiveness results from the horse's being well fed; and, if Defendant did not feed it well, some one would certainly prosecute him; not that I mean to say the 'Society for Preventing Cruelty to Animals' are here responsible in dam-

ages; by no means.

"But, again; is not this a case of vis major? Is it to be said that Defendant is bound to hire a driver able to hold his horse, even when it is most restive? Is a mariner negligent who fails to propel his vessel against the wind? Is a soldier to blame who cannot subdue an enemy stronger than himself? If so, a Defendant would lose his action though he had employed Nelson to sail his ships, and it would be negligent to give the command of an army to a Napoleon. As well might it be said that the Plaintiff's Counsel has argued badly because he fails—as surely he will to get my judgment in his favour. τ8

Yet he may have argued his best- Of Judges

though I hope not.

"A horse does not go too fast unless he cannot be pulled up; and, if he cannot be stopped, how is it negligent to let him run?

"Moreover, if the horse came at a high speed, there must, of course, have been much noise; and then the Plaintiff ought to have taken, or kept, himself

out of danger.

"I think, then, that in this action, the Plaintiff cannot recover, though in the hospital he has done so—which is another reason against him; for surely as nemo bis vexari debet pro eadem causa, so no one should recover twice for one injury.

"Oh yes; I wish to add that none of my brothers agree with this judg-

ment."

In the leading case under title Warranty—De Fraude v. Snafflebit—is to be found the following most exhaustive and authoritative exposition of the law on the subject:\*

"The question at present awaiting our decision is one of the very highest importance, and of the most general

\* Sir Fitzroy Kelly, C.B.

Scintillae interest to the public. It is whether a Juris horse warranted by the Defendant to the Plaintiff as being 'quiet in harness,' were so, or whether it were not.

"It appears from the evidence given at the trial-and which I now hold in my hand-that, immediately after the purchase of the quadruped in question, that is to say, on the twenty-ninth day of February of last year-and I may here say that I have, beyond doubt, ascertained this to have been what is usually denominated 'leap year,' which shows that the day alleged is not what I have heretofore called an 'impossible date'-the Plaintiff, with due caution and circumspection, proceeded to attach the horse to his cart, for the purpose of returning home, having been lucky enough to dispose of his own horse at the fair. Now, I find that, immediately upon an attempt being made to put the bridle over his ears, the horse threw out his heels, and kicked the Plaintiff's groom - who must, therefore, have been presentin the left eye; or rather in the place of that organ, for he was one 'cui lumen ademptum,' as, fortunately for him, he had already lost it by reason of an accident when shooting wild ducks in Lincolnshire; a dangerous, Of Judges and it would seem an unprofitable,

pursuit.

"The Plaintiff, then, having first, very properly, inverted the collar in the ordinary manner, seems next to have tried to put it over the horse's head (purposing, I imagine, to subvert it, or turn it round, as soon as he had done so), and I should hold the collar, whichever end might be uppermost, to be harness, within the meaning of the warranty; but the horse, actuated by some motive of which, not having felt it, I am unable to judge, bit the Plaintiff on the ear, either the right or the left, I for the moment forget which (nor indeed is it very material for the purposes of this case to determine which ear was so injured, nor, for that matter, to ascertain whether the Plaintiff bitten at all). After this display of his intractable temper, and his objection to conform to the conventionalities (so to speak) of equine existence, the horse galloped away-though in what direction does not appear-and has not since been discovered, or indeed heard of in any way, from that day to the present.

"Now, as I have already remarked,

Scintillae the matter to be decided is, was he, Juris that is to say, the horse, quiet in har-

ness? And here, if, as is not the case, the law required the Defendant to prove the affirmative of that proposition, I should, most unhesitatingly, hold and forthwith proceed to declare, that he was not: and that not because he is proved in any way whatever, directly or indirectly, to have misbehaved himself, positively or negatively, in harness, but because the evidence, which I have already shortly summarised, does not satisfy me that he was ever quiet in harness, or that he would have been so should he at any time have happened to be there.

"But the Plaintiff must prove affirmatively, to our satisfaction, that the horse was not quiet in harness; and in order to do this it is advisable, and I may say it is absolutely necessary, in the first place, to show that he was harnessed, and next that he was unquiet afterwards, and while still wearing the harness. That he was most fractious, unmanageable, and recalcitrant out of harness, I hold to be demonstrated beyond all manner of doubt, question, cavil, or dispute. Yet, had he once been got into his trap-22

pings, non constat but he might have Of Judges conducted himself soberly, quietly, and decorously, according to every rule of good behaviour, be it equine or otherwise.

"Then can it be said, either truthfully and honestly, or captiously, critically, or speciously, that the horse ever was in harness after the Plaintiff bought him?

"I do not think that it can, having due regard to the peculiar circumstances of this case, and remembering the fact that the bridle did not touch his ears, or possibly only one of them, and that the collar never completely surrounded his neck, and, perhaps, was never put on beyond his nose. Had he been unquiet when habited, and indued in part of his harness only, I should not, peradventure, have held that to be sufficient to entitle the Plaintiff to our judgment in this case. But that question does not here arise; nor unless the horse be-by means, as I would suggest, of a lasso, or by 'creasing,' or in some other manner practised by the Mexicans-again arrested, and reclaimed from its present wild and lawless state, is that question, at any time, now or hereafter, likely to present

Scintillae itself, here or elsewhere, before us for Juris our consideration, in any form, fashion,

or proceeding whatsoever.

"With regard to a case, which, during the argument of this question, was put by my learned brother, as to the effect of a warranty of this nature given to him on his purchasing a pair of carriage horses for a Duchess, let me say, once for all, that I regret the introduction of hypothetical cases involving supposed facts so extremely improbable in their nature as to amount almost to complete impossibilities. is obvious that should my learned brother be acquainted with a Duchess. or even a Marchioness-which I by no means admit to be likely-and should she request him to choose for her a pair of horses, or indeed only one, it is obvious, I say, that no one can pretend to foresee the consequences of such a series of remarkable events.

"Wherefore, let the judgment of this Court be entered for the Defendant in

this cause-and so be it."

The next, and last, example, which I shall present, is a singularly instructive one. It differs, however, from the others in this, that it will not be found 24

in any of the reports, being, indeed, a Of Judges

summing up of the evidence in an action for breach of promise of marriage. I do not set down the names of the parties, as to do so would give needless pain, by revealing the illegitimacy of several persons who may some day come to a good position in life.

The following note was copied from the brief of a learned friend who was engaged in the case:—

The Judge \* sums up :-

"The learned Counsel says you ought to find for the Defendant. Well, you may if you like; but don't you go and do it because he asks you. He asked me not to leave the case to you at all; but I mean to.

"Very well! now, what are the facts? The Defendant admits that he promised to marry the girl; of course, if he's a man at all, he can't deny that; and his Counsel says he is a fool—very likely, but what then? Lots of people are fools; but they marry. Then that's no excuse for him. Next, the Defendant says the Plaintiff wouldn't have him; she says she would; which

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Justice Brett, afterwards Lord Esher, M.R.

Scintillae of 'em do you believe? He has three Juris hundred a year—and—and—well, she's

hundred a year—and—well, she's a woman; there! She don't dislike money, you know. This is an action to get, what? Why, money, to be sure; and Defendant's money, too, mark that. She can't bring an action for the man; and I can't order specific performance of the contract to marry, because the law says damages—that's money—are as good as a husband.

"First, then, there's the loss of the husband's income. Then the loss of the man; and, when you've settled the damages on these, there's compensation for the injury to the Plaintiff's

heart—her feelings, you know.

"Now, here the learned Counsel says there are no particulars. He must say something, of course; that's what he's for. I don't know what he expects. He can hardly want a list of regrets at so much a dozen; misery at five shillings per hour, let's say; or an account of the number of tears, or pints of 'em, that the Plaintiff has shed over this business; the whole to be paid for at so much for the lot, with a reduction, perhaps, on account of Defendant's taking a large quantity. I wonder he does not say there are no

bought and sold notes to prove the Of Judges contract. I should know how to deal with that.

"Well; you and I may not like this sort of action. Very likely we should prefer to whip a man of that sort down there. But we must be forensic; and so you are to find your verdict for the Plaintiff.

"Now, then, what damages? Don't give too much, for if you do the Court will set your finding aside, or the Defendant may be broken up, and the Plaintiff get nothing after all.

"What do you say?"



SHALL NOT SPEAK FURTHER Of Courts

of those Superior Courts, whose reported decisions—especially those I have already cited—are, even by themselves, viewed with so much respect. Nor shall I occupy time in considering that Court of Piedpoudre, where, as Lord Coke says, your cause was heard and determined before dust could fall from your feet; for of this expeditious procedure nothing, except the dust, remains.

But, since it is, unfortunately, the fate of junior Counsel—and of some others who should by this time be elsewhere—to attend the County Courts and Quarter Sessions, I propose to say a word or two concerning these seats

of justice.

The County Court of to-day is, in name at least, the representative of a venerable institution; yet it has but one feature in common with the County Courts of times now long gone by. It is still, as Spelman named its forerunner, forum plebeiae justitiae. It still justifies the observation of Blackstone, that "its dignity became much impaired when the bishop was prohibited and the earl neglected to attend it." No one can now enter one of these Courts

Scintillae without perceiving the episcopal and Juris baronial influences to be not merely absent, but to have altogether passed away. Suitors of a sort very common there to-day were simply impossible when the bench might be occupied by a Crusader, and a Christian.

> Men in possession—beati possidentes! -the grand army whose battlefield is the room of the mock auction; rogues who will discount any bill, if only it be obtained by fraud; all these have, I know, their rights; and the County Court is where of choice they go to seek them. May they receive their due, and something more.

Meanwhile the bishop is at his club, and the earl has gone to Epsom.

To the Quarter Sessions the barrister of one term's standing goes to make himself acquainted with the "law of the land," by giving lessons in it to those who administer the one by virtue of owning the other.

Should any one question the wisdom of learning in such a school, I will

content myself with reminding himfor, doubtless, he has read them-of 30

these words of M. Xavier de Maistre: Of Courts

"De cette manière, on a le temps de s'affermir sur le terrain de la sagesse sans penser y être encore, et l'on y arrive par le chemin de la folie, ce qui en facilitera singulièrement l'accès à beaucoup de monde." I trust that no one will think that this argument fails to justify the existence of Quarter Sessions as at present established; for I know of no better reason, nor do I believe there is one.

\* \*

A chairman of Quarter Sessions will hardly ever reserve a question of law; but he will generally leave it to the jury.

\* \*

Counsel should, in all Courts, use more of deference in proportion as the Bench have less of learning.

\* \*

It is a fault of cheap justice, as of gin, that it is purchased by many who were better without it.



T IS A CURIOUS PRINCIPLE IN Of Prisoners

our law that prisoners charged with having committed a crime, are the only people in the world presumed to be innocent of it. But this great advantage is not conceded to them for nothing, since they are also supposed to speak falsely when they deny that they are guilty of the very offence which they are presumed not to have committed; and, therefore, if they should desire to assert their innocence under the sanction of an oath, this is forbidden,\* because they are further presumed to be addicted to perjury.

The truth is, that, although the law pays a prisoner the compliment of supposing him to be wrongly accused, it, nevertheless, knows very well that the probabilities are in favour of the prosecutor's accusation being well founded, and does not mean in any way to insinuate that he brings a false charge—it follows, therefore, that the presumably righteous are regarded with the greatest suspicion, and herein

<sup>\*</sup> This is no longer the case. Accused persons and their husbands or wives are now admissible as witnesses for the defence, by virtue of the Criminal Evidence Act, 1898.

Scintillae our law shows, perhaps, more of

Juris practical wisdom than of logic.

Every one knows that, if there be a reasonable doubt whether a prisoner be guilty or not, he must be acquitted, whereas no such concession is made to a defendant in a civil action. It might well then be imagined that more verdicts would be gained by prisoners than by defendants; but they who think thus have failed to notice that it is more important to a man to look innocent than to be prima facie thought No defendant is brought up through a hole in the floor; he is not surrounded by a barrier, nor guarded by a keeper of thieves; he is not made to stand up alone while actions are being judged; and his latest address is not presumably the gaol of his county. In short, it is known that a defendant appears voluntarily, while no one doubts that a prisoner would run away if he could.

It seems, then, to me that to profess to think all accused persons innocent can amount to no more than our attempt to make believe that monarchs are all "most gracious," and mayors of little boroughs "worshipful." I might further instance the term "reverend,"

which, as applied to all clergymen, has Of Prisoners been lately declared to be a "laudatory epithet"—a fair description enough of the word "innocent" as predicated of all indicted prisoners.

Another instance of the favour with which the law professes to regard a prisoner on trial may be found in the care taken to ascertain his motives; upon which, and not upon his acts, his guilt or innocence often depends.

Thus, if I give a shilling to a beggar, I am at once called a charitable man; yet I have, perhaps, bestowed it upon him well knowing that he will buy poison, and so kill himself. No one, however, considers my motive; the action satisfies all. But, if I should take a shilling away from another, I am not instantly condemned as a thief: for it may be I thought it my own; or, perchance, I was mad-as to shillings. Here my motives are separated, questioned, reviewed, and considered; and if, among all my reasons for acquiring property, I acted upon one not "felonious"-whatever that may mean-I am acquitted; for "non est reus nisi mens sit rea."

Now all this process is gone through, not because there is any real difficulty Scintillae in deciding, but simply because we are Juris going to award punishment in the one case, and do not intend to bestow any reward—or anything more valuable than approbation—in the other. Our law is, in fact, a scheme for afflicting not all offenders, but the most conspicuous; and the length of a case will generally be found to be proportioned,

which it is expected to result.

not to the intricacy of the inquiry, but to the magnitude of the sentence in

For my own part, I will not venture to consider whether or not too much attention is paid to the motives of men when we are about to judge of their deserts; but it is certain that many influential teachers of mankind have, looking to results only, estimated motives at nothing whatever. I do not know a better example of this than the doctrines of that Gnostic sect who call themselves Cainites. These people, it is said, not only worshipped the first murderer-upon the hypothesis that he must have been virtuous because he was oppressed - but they also adored Judas Iscariot, for the reason that had it not been for his perfidy there would have been no salvation for Christians.

It is said by some jurists that our Of Prisoners

law looks upon an action as a fight between a plaintiff and a defendant, to be conducted, not, indeed, with scrupulous fairness, but according to the rules of the forensic arena. And certain it is -as you may read in Glanvil, if you willthat both a defendant and a prisoner might at one time elect to prove his right to land in the one case, or his innocence of a crime in the other, by knocking on the head, coram judice, any one having the temerity to come forward as plaintiff or accuser. But, while allowing this to have been so in the days of Henry II., we must remark that the position of a prisoner now differs from a defendant's, in this, that he is looked upon as having declared war against the State, and so must combat all society at once. His only chance now lies in his heels. He flies therefore before the multitude he cannot hope to withstand; and thus we have a prosecutor, who comes, not in the place of the fighting plaintiff, but rather resembles those who give information of the whereabouts of some recognised beast of chase—the harbourer—a man soon passed by and forgotten when once the hunt is up.

Scintillae But, if an accused person is regarded Juris as a subject of venery, liable to be

caught and killed at prescribed seasons—assizes, or sessions—he is also on that very account entitled to certain law, or privileges. Thus the prosecuting counsel is expected to pursue his prey not too viciously; not taking advantage of every weapon he might use—as one does not follow a fox with guns and javelins, nor impede his flight by snares and pit-falls. He who would cross-examine a witness to character is as one who would harpoon hares, or kill salmon with a torpedo.

That a prisoner's wife may not be called, even by himself, is a beneficent provision designed by his enemies to

save him from his friends.\*

The great gain of the prisoner in having all the community for his foe, in place of the one man he has injured, consists in the diffusion, and consequent weakening, of enmity which is its inevitable result. As Izaak Walton while impaling a frog would use him as though he loved him, so do our Courts manipulate a criminal. He is allowed to confess, if it please him: but he is no more driven to this form of suicide

than a stag is purposely chased over Of Prisoners a precipice; and, indeed, he is often gently dissuaded from admitting his

gently dissuaded from admitting his guilt, and encouraged to run for his life

or his liberty.

It may seem strange that the people at large should at once accuse and judge suspected delinquents. Yet the English above all nations insist on this practice. So the King, for the country, prosecutes; and the jurors, for the country, decide. In Britain the interest of the community is fairly guarded under this system, but it hardly bears transplanting to countries where—to parody an old adage—Vox populi vox rei.

If I have taken some trouble, and given more, in order to explain the theory of our law concerning the advantageous position of the accused when in the dock, I shall, I trust, be excused on account of the general interest of the subject; for we know not where any one of us may be to-morrow, and, perchance, "de te fabula narratur."



NE OF THE MOST PERPLEX- Of Telling a ing matters ever since the world Story began—and it must have been doubly difficult before—has always been how to begin. This problem daily presents

itself to the barrister.

Now, in telling a story, it is abundantly clear that one cannot begin at the very beginning. If there be a heroine, her history is well started, and her path fairly marked out, before ever she is born; and yet one can hardly commence a narrative of how she was deserted by Lothario with a description of the stately amours of her grandfather and grandmother.

There is some advantage in beginning at the end and going backwards. You thus cover all the important points, and can stop as soon as the facts become altogether irrelevant; while you elude a great difficulty, because, as you cannot commence with a fact later than the last of all, you cannot be charged with omitting necessary preliminary

matter.

But it cannot be disregarded that this has never been the popular logical habit; and it would, therefore, be confusing at first, even if fairly tried. There is nothing really puzzling about Scintillae such a method; indeed, it is simpler Juris to go from what has happened back to

what caused it, than to feel one's way forward from cause to effect—as we sink more easily than we rise. But what is most likely to prevail against this system is the objection that the tale will decrease in interest as it proceeds-a great fault when we remember that it is often of less importance to reason well than to argue attractively. To take an example from the novelists: "So they married and lived happily ever after," though it is the end of a tale, does not make a bad beginning; but the converse does not hold good, and thus no one could finish a story by recounting that, "on a balmy evening in the month of June, two horsemen might have been seen slowly crossing a moor." Should any Counsel so vaguely conclude his speech, I am sure a Judge,\* whom I could mention. would instantly exclaim, "Might have been seen! But were they observed, and, if so, will you proceed to tell meand as briefly as you can-by whom, and what was the date?"

Priority of time is not, perhaps, so important a matter as is generally \* The allusion is to Chief Baron Kelly.

supposed. What happens in June Of Telling a may well, if foreseen, have caused Story

some event which occurred in the March before. And in such a case, the order of time would displace the real sequence of things. Your death causes you to make a will—though many people appear to fancy that death is accelerated by testamentary acts because it always succeeds them.

Perhaps the better way is to begin your narration at the middle of the story to be told; not, of course, with the chief fact of all, but with one of some interest and importance. After this may come a digression into those events which preceded the one first noticed, and then a reverting to the

course of the story.

I will illustrate my meaning by instancing the tale of Enid and Geraint,

as told by Lord Tennyson.

First, he tells us that "the brave Geraint, a Knight of Arthur's Court, a tributary prince of Devon, one of that great order of the Table Round, had married Enid, Yniol's only child."

This is an attractive statement. We are at once prepared to enjoy a conjugal disagreement, and begin to wonder what it will be about. Therefore, lest

Scintillae we should lapse into disappointment, Juris this is the next thing we are told; and

we are just about to be informed of the result of the squabble, when the author cunningly affects to remember that he has neglected what he has chosen as the beginning of his story. Wherefore he suddenly breaks off, and says-"For Arthur, on the Whitsuntide before, held court at Old Caerleon upon Usk."

After which statement he follows the life of Geraint, before he met with his wife, through nearly forty pages: detailing his meeting with Enid, the courtship by battle-so usual in those days -and, taking "the Whitsuntide before" as his point of departure, brings down the narrative to the very moment when he began it. And he who reads the tale must, I think, admit that he could not tell it in better order.

Yet, let me imagine my friend Hevifee, Q.C., at the telling of this simple story in a Court presided over by the eminent Judge I have already alluded to.\* It would be more than his practice is worth to disturb chronological order as does the Laureate. He would begin at "the Whitsuntide before." If not, he would prepare an ill quarter of an

hour for himself, when, after his diver- Of Telling a sion, he reached that epoch! The Story

eminent Judge would probably strike out all the notes he had taken, and compel Hevifee to tell the whole story again, from what would most likely be termed "that most venerable English festival, to which, out of all order and convenience, you have already referred as a date of importance; though you have not on that account forborne to place it subsequent to the succeeding Christmas."

Now, this custom of beginning at the beginning, as it is loosely called, is, to my thinking, a most clumsy device; for all the early part of your narrative is taken up with that sort of tedious explanation spoken of by Mr. Shandy -if I remember rightly-as an introductory preface, or prefatory introduction: which, so far as we can see for some time, leads not more to one place than another. And, besides, many matters are, from the nature of things, contemporaneous; as youth and innocence, marriage and repentance, virtue and indigence. Many events which it is necessary to detail happen unavoidably at the same moment of time; and yet, such harm has this inveterate Scintillae habit of beginning with the earliest Juris date effected, that ninety-nine people out of a hundred will conclude that what you first mentioned must have soonest occurred. The elegance of events moving along in parallel courses, or drawing gradually toward a place of meeting, is utterly destroyed by this arbitrary assumption

of sequence.

Most men, I have noticed, attempt to solve the difficulties in telling a story by telling it all at once. They tell it first in a sentence before any one can stop them. They, then, admit it to be unintelligible, and recount it at tedious length, after which they take it bit by bit, and embellish—as they think—each piece separately. This is always done through fear, first, that nothing less than the whole story can command sympathy, succeeded by a further misgiving that when once told the story is too short to maintain its effect, and then comes a desperate feeling that the events require emphasising.

But my friend Hevifee never sets to work in this manner. He begins at the first date and concludes with the last. Safe is he, but not ornate. This method satisfies the eminent Judge. I do not

46

know that he admires it; but he finds Of Telling a that all can follow it, and needs must, Story

if he stands in the way of wanderers as with a flaming sword, or with a vigorous thwack or two urges the loiterer along the straight but narrow path. Many pleasant and profitable pastures are neglected; many a flower by the wayside is passed unheeded: arid is the road, and dusty with the dust of dismal folios, yet is it the highway which all may walk who will. The system I know is like the Yorkshire way of making coats, whereby a score of pieces of shoddy are cut at once to one pattern by a rotary saw. It adorns no one; but it covers the nakedness of hundreds.

I could wish that the opening of a case were not quite so like the reading of a file of old almanacs supplemented by an aggregation of comment which has for the most part got stale by the time it is presented. No doubt the dates are the bones, without which there were no coherence in the figure; but, as nothing in nature grows first to a skeleton and afterwards is clothed upon with form, so I think an account of events should come, as they come

themselves, each imperceptibly to

Scintillae perfect the last, not merely to be sup-

Juris ported by it.

What I have here set down I have written with no intent to incite any one to depart from the common usage in our Courts. For my own part, if, haply, I may say, "Video meliora proboque," I must sadly conclude "deteriora sequor."

I ONCE HEARD IT SAID BY A Of Examinskilful and successful advocate, ing in Chief

now a Judge,\* that it is less difficult to cross-examine than to examine in chief: and, although I fancy that few would have come to this conclusion, yet I think it a just one; for it is far easier to put questions which may place a man in an unattractive position than so to conduct his examination as to make him show to the greatest advantage. And, indeed, the gift required seems to me to partake somewhat of that constructivity said to be so rare among our politicians. Many a ragged fellow has broken painted windows, though none but Albert Dürer could have made them.

It is true, nevertheless, that but little attention is bestowed upon the examining in chief of a witness, while many arts are exercised to produce an effect in cross-examining; and this, because the one is so much more engaging to spectators than the other, and seems to have a more considerable influence upon the issue of the contest by reason of its results being more quickly perceived.

The examination in chief is, as it

\* Baron Huddleston.

Scintillae were, the founding of the witness; the Juris fortifying him; the circumvallation and provisioning of him for the siege that is to follow. You place him on a hillock by an allusion to his being a Justice of Peace, or an Officer in Her Majesty's Service. You surround him with the out-works of character, and barricade him with an enumeration of his clubs. His allies in peace and war are delicately suggested by a chance allusion to his uncle's being a duke, or to his banking at Coutts's. Around him you may draw the defences of holy orders, and before him erect the sallyport of the pulpit. You may conceal his weaknesses, or skilfully turn them to his benefit, by the exercise of calculating caution, or opportune audacity. If he be of a hot temper, and prone to attack, you may even gain him credit for his violence by recalling to him some object generally disapproved, that he may be thought honourable when he rushes out to condemn it. You may gain for a man sympathy by putting to him in a leading question a list of all the misfortunes he has suffered. while he would tire and disgust every one should you leave him to relate them, as he would

certainly do at the first opportunity.

A plaintiff or defendant should be Of Examinexamined with more deference and ing in Chief

ceremony than any other witness in the case. They always feel that they are the chief actors, and are somewhat proud of having so behaved themselves as to have brought together a large number of people to listen to their mutual complaints and recriminations, and particularly of having afforded their Counsel an opportunity of display. For all these reasons-in addition to their everyday ones-they are filled with a huge notion of their own extreme importance; as was that highwayman of whom it is related that, when the chaplain, on the way with him to Tyburn, said he feared they were late, he answered, "Never trouble about that, sir; they can't begin without us." It is so much the habit of those in high positions to give trouble, that any one who succeeds in being tiresome thinks himself entitled to consideration.

Let a witness mention his hereditary advantages, for they will gain him respect; but such as he has acquired for himself should not be enlarged on, since they shew him to be a dangerous competitor, whom no one cares to assist. Scintillae It is often of advantage to question Juris an honest witness on matters concern-

Juris an honest witness on matters concerning which you know him to be uncertain, although you have the means of proving them by other evidence. He will answer that he "believes it to be so and so," but will not swear it "positively." So, when you afterwards prove the facts independently, every one will think well of him for being so scrupulous in speaking of what nearly concerned his interest.

In examining a witness whom you believe to be of easy virtue—as must often happen to you—it is well to give him no more than the unavoidable openings for the exercise of disingenuousness, that the chances of his detection in the fact may be thereby

diminished.

As a rule never allow a witness to state that which he is most anxious to mention—for it will surely be either slanderous or irrelevant.

An abandoned and audacious man is always thought better of than he deserves. If therefore you cannot conceal that your witness is a rascal, let him reveal it recklessly.

Avery conscientious witness is usually tiresome, and never impressive. Any

data are a great help to him; and a Of Examinfew letters given one at a time, or a ing in Chief

thick ledger opportunely supplied, will often enable him to hesitate without being suspected of taking time to fabricate falsehoods, and to answer from his own recollection when he thinks he

speaks from another's authority.

All witnesses should be kept as far as possible away from subjects with which they are specially conversant; for juries have no more relish than other people for being instructed. In every proof the witness gives of his own knowledge they are quick to see also an unmannerly discovery of their

own ignorance.

For a kindred reason I would prevent a witness from attributing his acts—as some do—to higher motives than men are used to find in their everyday affairs. There is a reproach in the contemplation of unaccustomed refinement which gains no favour from the less cultivated. We sympathise only with those who dress like ourselves, whether the habit be of ideas or broadcloth.

We always suspect the honesty of those who are actuated by motives which would not influence ourselves. Scintillae Perhaps as important a matter as Juris any is to look at your brief as little as possible while you examine in chief; for a witness is more pleased to tell his story if he thinks it may be new to you; and is not then embarrassed by the constant fear of giving an incorrect or imperfect version of what you appear

to be reading.

Although leading questions are properly open to objection, yet, with a little contrivance, they may be asked unobserved; as by separately putting in the shape of isolated facts, and not in their logical order, all the parts of the proposition you would suggest—always taking care to insert skilfully a few immaterial questions, in order to conceal the maneuvre.

WITNESSES ARE OF TWO of sorts; professional and acci-Witnesses dental. And first of the professional witness.

Many have been the disputes as to whether our present juries are the historic descendants of the compurgators—those rash persons who pledged their belief in the innocence of our forefathers. For my own part, I think that the compurgator of old is to-day rather to be discovered in the professional witness.

The parallel may not be exact, but, allowing for the inevitable modifications effected by time, I think it will appear close enough to enable us to

identify the one with the other.

If the compurgator always was drawn from the vicinage, while the professional witness generally comes from Great George Street, or Brook Street, this is hardly more remarkable than localising the venue of an action for an assault committed at Minorca by alleging the injury to have occurred in Cheapside.

I cannot ascertain that the compurgators charged anything for their oaths, though the professional witness demands so much a day for his Scintillae swearing; but this difference is no more Juris than we might expect to find as the

result of increased civilisation. The real similarity, after all, lies in the fact that the testimony of both is evidence of opinion; though we certainly now make this distinction, that whereas the question formerly was, "Do you think Gurth murdered Diggon?" it now takes the form, "Do you consider Smith knew what he was when he stabbed Jones?" whereas the answer used to be, "We think Gurth was in the right on't, for Diggon had broke his head with a quarter-staff," it now runs, "I state it to be my deliberate opinion that Smith was suffering from acute cerebral disturbance, such as recent contact between his skull and a brick might produce in one inclined to petit mal-as are all sinners."

An intermediate link seems to me to be observable in the "common vouchee," once so useful in cases of entail. When disentailing became frequent enough to afford regular employment to witnesses, a class of persons rose to meet the requirements of the age: and I doubt not that had any man in the tenth century killed as 56

many people in a year as a modern Of Railway Company does, he too would Witnesses

Railway Company does, he too would have retained a regular contingent of compurgators to excuse him. And surely they who, by means of a pocket-book and a "hypothetical tenant," ascertain that a square mile of property in a populous city is worth nothing at all, could have sworn conscientiously that Robin Hood was a profitable keeper of game to his liege lord the king, in his Majesty's forest of Sherwood.

I would not, nevertheless, be supposed to intend that all doctors, who depose to the insanity of a defendant, have any desire to make a madman where they fail to find one; and I have no doubt that many valuers are convinced by their own arguments that two and two make four and a decimal fraction; just as I think it probable that the crier of the Court of Common Bench grew to believe himself the warrantor of real titles to half the land in the kingdom.

The professional witness is rather to be regarded as belonging to that class of devotees who acquired the name of stigmatists, by reason of their so persistently imagining their hands and Scintillae feet to bear holy scars that at last they

Juris produced them.

Accidental witnesses, generally, are quite honest, but are hardly ever unprejudiced, even on first entering the witness-box, and they always leave it rank partisans if their evidence has been of sufficient moment to produce cross-examination. Yet, if they are not cross-examined, they more often feel slighted than grateful. For an instant, perhaps, they fancy that they looked so strong as to discourage assailants, but it soon occurs to them that they were not thought worth the trouble of an attack.

\* \*

A witness who understands the effect of his testimony on the issue seldom gives it fairly. Perhaps few honest men are honest designedly.

\* \*

Much truth is spoken, that more may be concealed.

\* \*

Any one who appears reluctant to speak ill of those in whom he has no 58

peculiar interest, will not often be Of credited with sincerity. Witnesses

\* \*

Admissions are mostly made by those who do not know their importance.

\* \*

Perjury is often bold and open. It is truth that is shamefaced—as, indeed, in many cases is no more than decent.

\* \*

A nervous witness generally means to speak truly; and seldom does so.

\* \*

A professedly religious witness takes credit for so many virtues that he allows himself much licence in dealing with truth.

\* \*

It is characteristic of women that they think everything they can say to be very material, and, therefore, they never understand why any questions should be put to them. It also passes their comprehension why they should be stopped just when they are about to inform the Court of the Scintillae most important matter of all, namely, Juris what a man's wife thinks of him.

\* \*

Women are invariably angry in the witness-box; for the rules of evidence happen to be peculiarly repressive of feminine conversation; wherefore they look upon them as prominent examples of the laws designed for the subjection of their sex.

k \*

Of children, perhaps, orphans are the more truthful witnesses.

\* \*

The value of all testimony is determined by a paradox; for that which costs much is worth little, while that given freely is without price.

\* \*

The last sentence contains much consolation for the professional witness, if he will examine it; nor can it fail to gratify all others.

T IS NECESSARY TO ALL of Cross-who cross-examine to remember Examinathat the object of their art is to elicit tion that which the witness is either reluctant to reveal, or would not tell at all if he thought it to his questioner's benefit. It is clear then that your aim in cross-examination is to bring out the truth on certain points selected by

Now the thing easiest to be got in the whole world is the truth, if you set about it in the right way; for to speak truth is to relate what has happened, while to lie is to tell what has not—and this requires the imagining of what never was, and the joining of it, more or less

cleverly, to what is.

yourself.

In nearly all men the imagination works but slowly; and, therefore, it is well to get yourself answered quickly when you desire facts to be disclosed, but to give time if you want the witness to palter with the truth for the purpose of your showing afterwards that he did so.

If it be asked how one may get an answer quickly, I can only reply that a question suddenly put seems to hurry the utterance of the witness before it touches his faculty of reflection. Thus

Scintillae you may often hear a man, who has Juris answered a question, say, "Excuse me, but I did not understand you "-an assertion which a smile of incredulity easily represents as a falsehood.

> If you suggest to an adverse witness a fact in his own favour, he will often deny its existence for fear it should be

to your advantage.

A suggestion which you desire a hostile witness to adopt should always be made unexpectedly, otherwise his judgment will reject it at the bidding of his interest. There is a story told of Lord Erskine which may illustrate this position.

"Sir," said he very slowly to a man who declined to pay for a coat, on the ground that it did not fit him, "do I understand you to say that one arm of that coat was longer than the

other?"

"I swear it most solemnly," replied

the witness.

" What ?" cried Erskine, with a sudden plunge into a hurried manner; "do you pledge your oath that, on the contrary, one arm was not actually shorter than the other ?"

"I do," was the answer, given as rapidly as the question was put.

62

It is generally well to indulge a Of Crosswitness against you who desires to Examinatalk much; for, when you have with tion affability heard all that he has to say, he will readily tell you all that you wish to hear. Moreover, his garrulity will be likely to offend the jury, since all are so fond of talking that they lavish much praise on silence in others, as poverty is lauded for a virtue, because every one wants to be rich.

\* \*

In all men we first notice their weak points; and, therefore, you should, for a time, encourage the display of those characteristics of a witness which you soonest observe; yet remember always that, as there is no spot of earth where you would not find something of value, if you should dig deep enough, so will much stirring up of any man at last reveal some good quality.

\*

It is most difficult for a wit to be agreeable; so, if you allure a witness into indulging his taste for comicality, you may be sure that he will offend at least one of a tribunal of thirteen.

Scintillae A gruff man is commonly thought
Juris honest. You should, therefore, play to
such an one on the pipe of politeness,
that he may look ill-tempered if he will
not dance, and ill-mannered if he do.

\* \*

Should a witness be naturally cautious and circumspect, there is no resource but to give him large opportunities for reticence, that it may be taken for disingenuousness.

\* \*

A timid question will always receive a confident answer.

\* \*

When a witness called by the other side is inclined to behave to you with marked courtesy, I think it a mistake to discourage him, as some Counsel do. For, though the tenor of his evidence shall be against you, yet many will conclude, from his manner of giving it being the contrary, that he is addicted to insincerity, and will be likely to distrust him altogether.

\* \*

It often happens that you have to 64

cross-examine your own witness, by Of Cross-reason of the other side having called Examinahim. In such a case it is wisest to conceal as much as possible the fact of his partiality; and I would, therefore, not cross-examine him as though he were a trusted friend, as is the common way. It is well to ask him many questions; for he will be sure to answer favourably, and yet it looks more like a real cross-examination than if you should let him begin and finish his own story without interrup-

\* \*

tion, or with transparent assistance.

Never torture a witness longer than he will wriggle in a lively fashion; for it is not the pain but the contortions of the victim which amuse lookers-on.

\* \*

A compliment is a forensicanæsthetic. Many people will complacently undergo a fatal interrogation if they be well flattered all the while; and more men are likely to be caught by a compliment to their ability than by a tribute to their virtue. Perhaps even the best of us would rather be feared than respected or beloved.

E

Scintillae In cross-examining a claimant it is Juris expedient to induce him to exaggerate his rights, to the end that all who hear him may feel their share in the wealth of mankind to be threatened by his large demands upon the common stock; and that thus his claims may be adjudged by his debtors.

\* \*

To show that your client has, through the Defendant's conduct, lost something which he had before, will gain much favour for his suit; but to prove that he has been prevented from obtaining what he had a right to acquire will help him little; for who can tell from whose store the new supply would have been drawn?

\* \*

It is almost always safe to attack a witness whom the Judge allows to be hostile, and to punish him as sharply as you can; since the admission of an overt act of enmity is, after the oath, a declaration of his untruthfulness and desire to deceive the Court. The jury at once feel that you are fighting, not your own enemy only, but theirs also; and having, as it were, become 66

combatants by champion, are anxious Of Crossto see you prevail.

Examination

\*

If you can make a witness appear ridiculous, it is never unsafe to do so; for those in ludicrous situations receive no pity, even though they die there.

\* \*

Yet I think it generally a mistake to laugh at any man for his calling in life; as that he is a barber, a tailor, or the like. Few men do not think themselves more genteel than their business; and it is ill joking before a jury on a common foible.

\* \*

A severe manner may often be used with success toward a witness with whom the jury are inclined mentally to agree, but never against one with whom they sympathise. And it is not wise to try to deprive a person of this sympathy; for you show the foolishness of those who bestowed it; but rather enlarge upon how much of sympathy any one has, as a reason for denying him anything more substantial.

Scintillae The knowledge that virtue is its own Juris reward may well appear reason enough for giving to the deserving nothing beside an admission of their goodness.

\* \*

Sometimes it is not inartistic to affect entire belief in every statement made by an opponent's witness; since nothing sooner begets scepticism than the con-

templation of credulity.

We must, indeed, be very careful how we affect unbelief in statements made by even the falsest; for they themselves must speak infinitely more truth than falsehood, and every one can see it.

\* \*

To prove that any man is a notorious liar has its dangers, since it heightens the effect of every truth he tells.

\* \*

Do not seek to sink a witness too low in the opinion of his judges; for it is to be observed that we hardly ever feel unkindly towards those who are incontestably and hopelessly beneath us. An aspiring man is always disliked; but the greatest sinner will meet with 68

to be abject.

to be abject.

to be abject.

to be abject.

\* \*

A display of magnanimity in dealing with the case against you, often begets a belief in the strength of your own; for we are accustomed to generosity on the part only of those who have a superabundance for themselves.

\* \*

Many Counsel repeat every answer they obtain. A poor artifice for impressing a fact on the jury; because it is but telling them that they can comprehend only those things which have been said twice. And, although it is often necessary that a jury should not understand your case, it can never be advisable to show them that you think they cannot.

\* \*

I have frequently heard many foolish questions put for the purpose of showing that a witness takes gin in his tea. I am sure juries generally look on that as an honest failing; and I would suggest to those Counsel who crossexamine in this way, that they would

Scintillac damage a man far more by eliciting Juris his entire exemption from any conventional weakness, or commonplace vices, than by proving that he is not above them.

\* \*

The bad habits only of the great advocates are observed and imitated by lesser ones—a versifier who can snooze with Homer is not therefore a poet.

LL THAT WE SEE AND HEAR Of Evidence

is but evidence, and, therefore, to be doubted by those who would reason well. This has led many to conclude that they are wisest who doubt most; and some philosophers have sought distinction by maintaining that we ourselves are no more than evidence of our own existence, and that we fail to prove it. That these inquirers are right, I will neither assert nor deny; but seeing that such evidence as they demand for their satisfaction, concerning probabilities, would not be required by our law were the best of them on trial for their lives, we may well leave their refined speculations out of all consideration.

So far, indeed, is the law from standing disputing on the threshold of what is questionable, that it boldly steps across it by assuming something instead of going about to prove it; and this manœuvre it calls, very appropriately,

I think, presumption.

"A presumption," says Phillips, in his work on Evidence, "is a probable inference which our common sense draws from circumstances usually occurring in such cases."

Yet the matters presumed are often

Scintillae such as common sense would hardly Juris lead us to admit. I have already instanced the presumption that every prisoner accused is innocent; and it is not easy to see how common sense came to this conclusion, when it is notorious that out of ten men who are placed in the dock nine should be convicted and punished. Surely, if common sense had the fixing of that presumption, it would be to the effect exactly contrary.

If a man stay away from his wife for seven years, the law presumes the separation to have killed him; yet, according to our daily experience, it

might well prolong his life.

The inscription on a tomb is admitted as evidence concerning the person beneath it; but I hope that this goes not upon a presumption that epitaphs are true. The effusive compliments of an heir only satisfy me that he came into possession of his estate. They are proof of the ancestor's subjection to death, but none of his other virtues.

The bearing of particular arms, or devices, was at one time held evidence that he who bore them had inherited them, as one of the family whose cognisance they were; but—attending to

our common sense, as Mr. Phillips ad-Of Evidence vises — we ought now, probably, to conclude that he who engraves griffins on his spoons stole the crest, if not the silver.

Perhaps the presumption of all most consonant with common sense is that one by which a man who has possessed land for many years is supposed to have a good title to it, because, if he had not, some one would already have taken it from him. Such a presumption rests on the fact of human rapacity; and is therefore well nigh irrebuttable.

\* \*

The chief difficulty in arguing with most men, and, therefore, with a jury, is not to convince them, but to prevent them from too rapidly forming an opinion. And so I think it is a greater advantage to have the opening of a case than the reply; for you then more easily influence the growth of faith when you control those matters which go to promote it.

From this readiness to decide upon little evidence, or none, arises a serious danger, that of wearying the jury by continuing to call witnesses, and the inducing a suspicion of weakness by Scintillae improving your fortifications. It is Juris notorious that nothing likely to be true stands in need of much evidence; from which it is argued that what is supported by many proofs is felt to be improbable. Moreover, it is a consequence of the fallibility of all human affairs that the more reasons we bring, the greater chance is there of a bad one's being among them. Hereabouts lies the sole merit of temperance. The

There is another disadvantage in too soon convincing the Court; for it is well to bear in mind that what we gain quickly we part with on slight provocation, while we relinquish reluctantly those things which cost time and trouble to obtain. Many a worthless opinion is obstinately maintained because it has been laboriously come by. Whole races of men live in miserable situations, for no conceivable reason, except that it cost them much pain to get there; but the tourist, who travels at his ease, leaves even Naples without regret, though he is en route for Siberia.

last glass of wine may be no more dangerous in itself than the first one, but without it there were no mischief.

It is then well not to be content with creating a favourable opinion only, but to aim at producing it gradually, to the Of Evidence

end that it may endure.

Proof should not arrive as violent winds which bend down the trees before them, only that these may spring up again behind; rather should they come like a gentle fall of snow, to add their weight unperceived, to overwhelm silently, but to crush and smother all beneath them.

What is called real evidence-mostly bullets, bad florins, and nailed boots-is of much value for securing attention.

" Segnius irritant animos demissa per aures, Quam quae sunt oculis subjecta fidelibus."

This is true even when these exhibits prove nothing—as is generally the case. They look so solid and important that they give stability to the rest of the story: and so the French call such things pièces de conviction. The mind in doubt ever turns to tangible objects. They who first carved for themselves a Jupiter from a log of wood knew very well that the idol could do nothing for them, but it enabled them easily to realise a power who could. rusty knife is now to an English juryScintillae man just what a scarabeus was to an Juris Egyptian of old. I have seen a crooked nail and a broken charity-box treated with all the reverence due to relics of the holiest martyrs.

\* \*

It seems a pity that what is called "hearsay evidence" is not allowed to be given in our Courts for what it is worth; for though it may be freely admitted that what a man hears said of him, without denying it, may be assumed to be true, it is none the less likely that a good deal more truth will be spoken of him when he is away, than when he is present to be offended at the candour of his friends, and, possibly, to vigorously resent it.

And though I am not prepared to

say with "the Jacobin,"

"Whatever is, in France, is right,"

yet there is much more to be said for gossip than that the French Courts attend to it.

A LL MEN HAVE EVER SHOWN Of great respect for him who has Sentences power to kill them, and they exhibit

power to kill them, and they exhibit much tenderness towards those who have been distinguished by the magnitude of their sacrifices—as Napoleon I. and Chief Justice Jeffreys. It is, I know, usual and conventional to pretend that these homicides are spoken of "with execration"; but the fact that we are pleased to remember them so well goes far to disprove the sincerity of such professions of dislike.

The right to give judgment of death is—like riches, and all else that we value highly—conceded to few. Whenever there is a considerable insurrection for the division of property, the insurgents assert their title to all the good things of this earth indiscriminately, not less by plundering their fellows than by hanging and shooting

them.

"Some sacked his house and cellars, While others cut his head off,"

says Peacock, with a nice appreciation of the rights of man.

Now, the people have never allowed a popular right to become a privilege without insisting that it shall be Scintillae administered according to their liking. Juris It happens that the mediæval taste was

-as the English is still-all for hanging by the neck; and this was to be done with ceremony, on behalf of the public. And, therefore, though it was a small matter if one man killed another, it became a serious affair if he illicitly made use of a gallows to do it.

So carefully, indeed, were these things regulated in some countries, that you knew exactly how low a bow to make to a nobleman by noticing the number of posts to his gallows. A four-legged gibbet was distinctive of a very great baron indeed, and a twoposted one proclaimed a man a superior person even among feudal lords.

This extreme punctiliousness was often embarrassing to the less exalted aristocracy; for he was sure to have plenty of enemies who was not permitted to hang them. Nor did these unfortunate nobles venture to contemn the rules of the shambles by killing men in high baronial fashion, but sought by roundabout methods to get rid of those that troubled them, without in any way encroaching on the privileges of their betters. Thus the lords of Aragon hit on the ingenious 78

device of starving to death those whom Of they might not strangle; and this scru- Sentences

pulous observance of the law was by law rewarded, for in 1247 it was, as Du Cange records, thus enacted for the benefit of those who had kept the commandments, in word: "Si vassallus domini non habentis merum nec mixtum imperium, in loco occideret vassallum, dominus loci potest eum occidere fame, frigore et siti. Et quilibet dominus loci, habet hanc jurisdictionem necandi fame, frigore et siti in suo loco, licet nullam aliam jurisdictionem criminalem habeat."

These noblemen of Aragon, it will be observed, amended the defects of their feudal customs in precisely the same way as our Courts of Equity were wont to improve upon the Common and Statute Law of England. "Fame, frigore et siti"—these also were the methods by which suitors in Chancery were put into possession of their

inheritance.

\* \*

It has always been considered that those tribunals which impose sentences of death, fine, or imprisonment, provide satisfaction for the revenge of the public at large; and, for that reason, Scintillae probably our law does not in criminal Juris cases allow the many appeals per-

mitted in civil matters.\* He who had lost his bow or quiver by theft, might, with some calmness, submit to delay in getting it back, but he would certainly not have borne that the thief should be long withheld from his vengeance. Punishment for the guilty will not appease the injured man if it come not while his blood is hot with his wrongs. Lynch-law would never have been surrendered to a dilatory Court; for the object of punishment is more to allay anger than to effect reformation. If I shoot in the leg a housebreaker as he jumps out of my window, I shall be fairly contented, though neither society nor the burglar is the better for my act.

But when a judge is allowed by one unquestionable decree to send a man to the gallows, it is necessary to provide him with cogent motives for the exercise of discrimination; and surely no one can read without admiration the provisions of our early law upon this

subject.

<sup>\*</sup> The Criminal Appeal Act. 1908, has modified the situation since this chapter was written.

"It is abuse," says The Mirror of Jus-Of tice, "that justices and their officers, Sentences who kill people by false judgment, be not destroyed as other murderers, which King Alfred caused to be done, who caused forty-four justices in one year to be hanged as murderers for

"1. He hanged *Darling* because he had judged *Sidulf* to death for the retreat of his son, who afterwards ac-

quitted him of the fact."

their false judgments.

The Mirror then displays one by one the offences of other thirty-nine hasty or perverse justices, and throws in the names of another half-dozen or so, who also received severe lessons from their careful and humane king.

\* \*

Some there are who, observing how light are the sentences now given, as compared with those formerly in fashion, are apt to congratulate themselves on the amelioration of human nature in these later days, and contrast their gentle selves with their harsh ancestors. Yet I rather find the reasons of our present lenity in the greater certainty and rapidity with which we now bring our criminals to judgment—

Scintillae for, if it be true that he gives twice who Juris gives quickly, a year in gaol now is as two were not long since.

体 · 朱

Criminals of a class seldom caught always receive severe sentences; partly for example, but chiefly because they are individually supposed to have long broken and evaded the law. Punishments seem to have been ever proportioned not more to the enormity of the offence than to the difficulty of exacting the penalty.

\*

The chief difference between prisoners and other people is, perhaps, captivity.

\* \*

He who commits a crime incurs a debt to society: and he escapes easiest who makes repayment at once.

\* \*

There is reason to think that we regard a felon, who has been punished, as an honest man with an exceptional claim on the public.

A good character precludes a man Of from taking advantage of many oppor-Sentences tunities by which others might profit without being blamed.

\* \*

A criminal compounds with his creditors, the public, by showing a fraudulent preference for the prison chaplain.

\* \*

An habitual criminal is one who pays compound interest.

\* \*

The highest penalty known to our law is in the nature of a post obit on one's own life.



A LTHOUGH IT MAY BE SAID of that the object of a lawsuit is to Advocacy

obtain for some one his rights, according to the law of the land, yet, when we come to consider in what manner an action may best be conducted at the trial, it is necessary to remember on what grounds the laws themselves must ultimately rest; since a confusion in the reasons for our complaints must inevitably lead to our stating them ineffectively.

"The only true and natural foundations of society," says Blackstone, "are the wants and fears of individuals." Were there, then, no wants and fears, society would be useless, and would soon cease to exist; but of this I see

no immediate prospect.

Now, an action must be brought for the purpose of satisfying the wants of some individual by operating on the fears of another. This, therefore, should be borne steadily in mind during the conduct of the case in Court.

And, first, the demands of the plaintiff must be stated, as formidably as possible as against the defendant, but not with such extravagance as to seem to jeopardise the rights of the public at large. The defendant alone is to be

Scintillae put in fear, not the judges themselves

Juris —as I think I have already pointed
out.

Moderation in those who supplicate us for favours seems a merit, because it is the equivalent to generosity in those we beg of.

\* \*

In relating the misfortunes of clients, one must never forget that if he is to gain by his pathos he must not long be pathetic. Our own troubles interest us always, but we soon tire of the woeful chances of others.

It is also to be noticed that, while we all pity the victim of a sudden calamity, we rarely sympathise with those whose ill-luck is persistent.

Even when enlarging upon everything you are able to urge in your client's favour, it is well to convey by your manner that you are understating your case; for by means of this artifice you gain credit for all that you are entitled to, and something more. This may be easily done in many ways, as, for instance, by omitting to state some favourable fact in opening your case, but taking care to prove it afterwards by evidence of your own, or 86

to extract it from the opposite party Of himself.

Advocacy

\* \*

If you at once admit those weak points in your case which you cannot hope long to conceal, they will do you less harm than if you should allow the other side to discover and reveal them. I know that it is the theory of the law that what any one says against his interest must be taken most strongly against him; but this doctrine itself rather diminishes the force of admissions, because the severity of the penalty on candour is likely to repress it, if it may be so disadvantageous in its effects; and, therefore, they who confess willingly always in practice meet with some indulgence.

\* \*

Since we are seldom allowed to choose what cases we are to conduct, it becomes necessary to determine how best to push forward an undeserving claim, or to submit an ill-founded defence. Now, it is of little use to have a good case if you do not take care to support it, not with plausible or ingenious arguments only, but with just

Scintillae ones—for there is nothing so true that Juris it may not be discredited by suspicious

reasons being adduced to prove it. A proposition, however, which is essentially wrong may often be well-maintained by unsound contentions, though it would be ruined by such as take truth for their basis. "It is an observation," writes Burke, "which I think Isocrates makes in one of his orations against the Sophists, that it is far more easy to maintain a wrong cause, and to support paradoxical opinions to the satisfaction of a common auditory, than to establish a doubtful truth by solid and conclusive arguments. When men find that something can be said in favour of what, on the very proposal, they have thought utterly indefensible, they grow doubtful of their own reason; they are thrown into a sort of pleasing surprise; they run along with the speaker, charmed and captivated to find such a plentiful harvest of reasoning where all seemed barren and un-This is the fairvland of promising. And it very frequently philosophy. happens that those pleasing impressions on the imagination subsist and produce their effect, even after the understanding has been satisfied of their unsub-88

stantial nature. There is a sort of Of gloss upon ingenious falsehoods that Advocacy

dazzles the imagination, but which neither belongs to, nor becomes the sober aspect of, truth. I have met with a quotation in Lord Coke's Reports that pleased me very much, though I do not know from whence he has taken it:—Interdum fucata fulsitas (says he), in multis est probabilior, et saepe rationibus vincit nudam veritatem."

The above passage should ever be remembered, as helping us to discriminate between the manner and methods suitable to be adopted when arguing before a jury, and those which it becomes us to assume and use in order to convince the mind of a Judge—at all events, if he be of the Superior

Courts.

I have heard Counsel, and that often, who make no difference between their speeches at Quarter Sessions, or Nisi Prius, and their arguments in Banc, except that they somewhat modulate their voices, and clumsily affect to move to Lydian measures in the latter circumstances, but the process of their argument remains the same. The only distinction they seem capable of making between learned and unlearned

Scintillae tribunals is this, that they lay fewer pro-Juris positions before the smaller assembly, altering the quantity of their talk, without taking the trouble to improve

it in quality.

And yet, although a mastery of the various well-known arguments, as ad baculum, ad hominem, and the like, is a very serviceable accomplishment, I regret that no one has yet discovered an effective argumentum ad feminam; which, perhaps, would be of not less value, forensically considered, than any of the others.

\* \*

It is doubtless of great moment that an advocate should appear to believe in his case, as he is then more likely to convert others; but I think that most Counsel would be better advocates did they content themselves with simulating the belief instead of actually embracing it. The manifest appearance of a believer is all that is wanted; and this can well be acted after a little study, and will not interfere with that calmness of judgment which it is well to preserve in the midst of uncertainties, and which does not

appear to be consistent with much Of faith.

Advocacy

\* \*

It is a common practice to conclude speeches with a burst of indignation; but such a feeling concerning the wrongs of others is the shortest-lived of all the passions. I would rather touch last upon prejudice, for it endures like bronze, and is easily written on with the acid of epigram.

\* \*

In the course of a trial many things are believed or discredited, merely because they are likely or unlikely to have occurred; yet the verdict itself is often only a fresh confirmation of the maxim, "L'imprévu est ce qui arrive toujours."



SHALL CONCLUDE WITH A Of Maxims

word or two on Maxims, which are for centres having many doctrines revolving around them. It becomes them, therefore, to be fixed and certain; but, for my own part, I can think them of not much more use in law than the proverbs of country people are in husbandry. Like "index learning," they may "hold the eel of science by the tail," yet the eel will find such means to wriggle that it were almost as well not to restrain him in any way as to hold

him by one end only.

It is magnificently declared by our law that there is no wrong without a remedy; but, perhaps, it were as just to remark that no remedy is given to him who has not a right to it. This manner of stating the rule is not, however, so attractive as that which the law adopts. It may often be imagined by litigants that they shall get what they have no title to, because they read ubi jus, ibi remedium, as being a complete proposition which does not negative there being some remedium where there is no jus. And, indeed, it has often happened that where one has shown himself in want of some remedial treatment, which he cannot specify, the law has found

Scintillae that he has a right of some sort, and Juris then it follows from the maxim that there must be given a solatium of one kind or another. It might be thought that if one could devise a new means of hurting another, it would be safe to exercise it; but, as you will produce fruit by beating and bruising a walnuttree, so if you do harm you will cause rights to spring up where before none were to be found. Or, as Lord Holt beautifully has it, if men will multiply injuries, actions must be multiplied too, for every man that is injured ought to have his recompense.

\* \*

The maxim which, though rarely quoted, most concerns all who go to law, is "caveat viator."

\* \*

"Actus legis," it is written, "nemini est damnosus."—Yet such is the ignorance of some who come to be hanged that they see not it is for their own benefit.

\* \*

"Rex non potest peccare"—Ahem!

It is said that he who comes into Equity Of Maxims must come with clean hands; and I suppose that the same rule must now apply in Law. The utility of the maxim is, that he who goes in clean will come out less dirty than he who is soiled from the first; but, perhaps, having clean hands, it were better not to go into Equity at all. Chi va al mulino

\* \*

s'infarina.

The maxim, "Boni judicis est ampliare jurisdictionem," was probably invented to comfort the conscience when Judges were paid by fees on the cases brought before them. It is characteristic of a good General to extend the area of the country he can hold and plunder.

\* \*

"Debitores non presumuntur donare." Yet debtors do make gifts, and large ones; often giving away the whole of their estates. I have noticed that bankrupts are men of very tender affections where their relations are concerned; and they are so far unprejudiced that they often prefer a creditor.

Scintillae It is a wise saying that "Socii mei Juris socius, meus socius non est." Persons of the sort here indicated are frequently to be found in our Courts as co-respondents.

\* \*

"Dona clandestina sunt semper suspiciosa." Generosity is sure of so much praise that it is considered that no one will give away in the dark what he may lawfully part with. I mean not to say that there is not much secret giving in charity, but these donations are by nature a sort of bribes, and lose something of their effect by being bestowed openly.

\* \*

"Summum jus, summa injuria." This is a more candid statement concerning law than one might expect to find in a law-book; but it is useful, should any one complain of the imperfection of our law, to be able to point out that in its integrity it were even more hurtful. If we find "partial evil universal good," then it is right to redress wrongs imperfectly.

The books contain the maxim, "Via Of Maxims trita, via tuta." I do not know that this has yet been alleged as a reason for not repairing a highway. But it would make as good a defence as many I have heard.

\* \*

Much comment has ever been bestowed upon the legal maxims, and even now, perhaps, they are not all fully and clearly understood. I shall not here give further examples of them, as their elucidation is a matter of great nicety; and I do not feel sure that I rightly interpret one or two of the few I have already presented.



THE PERIPATETIC PHILOSO- Of pher cannot well be indifferent Footpaths

pher cannot well be indifferent to the influence of by-ways upon those who travel on them. There is something distinctly deteriorating in sitting on a gate; but to get over it, to take one's way by a narrow footpath across a wide field, is to have entered, perhaps, on a long career of law-breaking. We may be sure that le premier pas qui coûte is often that which the thoughtless tourist takes on some gently sloping footpath.

A summer Circuit has, it might be thought, enough inconveniences already; but it has hitherto remained unnoticed how much a dweller in a town, and particularly in the Temple, may injure his moral sense by wandering among scenes of pastoral simplicity. The wholly uncultivated hill-side, the spreading expanse of pathless moorland—these may be trodden without danger. It is the half-defined tracks of men, or sheep, that lead astray.

This subject is so wide a one that I may not hope to treat it adequately yet succinctly. It were possible to look at it historically, and to prove from example how ruinous to respect for the rights of others, how fertile in growths

Scintillae of communistic theory and predatory

Juris practice, has been the habit of taking a

country walk. And yet there are they

who will recommend this to the young

Barrister as a healthful, innocent and

improving recreation.

It has been for years a subject of jest with the thoughtless that a witness in Thurtell's case described a person as " respectable" because he kept a gig. Yet this witness, we must believe, had more than a glimmering of right reason upon this very matter of the moral significance of footpaths. It is quite true -and beyond this point the common critic does not look-that the mere maintaining of a gig will no more than the proprietorship of a pew in the parish church keep one respectable. But the taste which leads a man to choose a gig is for something; and the habitual use of such a vehicle cannot but be salutary. 'Tis a protest against vagrancy and error; 'tis the act of one who sees the danger, as well as feels the toil, of walking. He who keeps a coach does so from a love of pomp; and he who has a landau loves to take his ease even in towns, where there are pavements and broad roads not tempting to the breach of boundaries. 100

But he who sets up a gig is, in pocket, Of of the class pedestrian; though he Footpaths recognises and shups the danger of

recognises and shuns the danger of that mode of voyaging. His gig limits him to the high-road; it holds him safely in his appointed groove. ing is not by much more modest, nor a coach and six more indicative of settled habits. Therefore is a gig respectable; and we may surely imagine that had Rousseau possessed a taxed cart, instead of being allowed (for States unthinkingly permit such poverty) to want other means of travelling than those he had of nature, he would for life have kept his way betwixt the hedgerows: would have met there no "Nouvelle Héloïse," and would have left no "Confessions" to delight those who like to look over the fence, yet hope. for themselves, to stay on the right side of it.

It must have happened to any one who takes account of his sensations to have noticed with what hesitancy, in the beginning of his holiday at a country place, he has stood upon the highway and regarded a little footroad across some meadow where the grass grows long—looking well at the width of it, and speculating on the length of time

Scintillae of its user. The narrowness of the Juris way serves to accentuate the rights of property, which, though, may be, it infringes them, it would appease by pointing to the triviality of its trespass. He observes how a footpath seldom takes the most direct way, though usually it is the straitest. It occurs to him that should he leave the high-road where he stands-a road consecrated by time and consent of parish authorities to the use of all the worldand should he pursue that other less hallowed track, he deserts the ways of citizens, and avails himself of the convenient walks of vagrants, the shameful sentiers of tramps. A civilised man receives a shock when first he turns into a mere footpath, without kerbstone or gutter, with nothing to tell authoritatively where it may lead him if he follow it, and which so skirts the property of others that he is ever treading over the boundary between communism and exclusive proprietorship. He has an uneasy sense that, if he be not in fact a trespasser himself, he is taking advantage of the wrongs of worse and bolder men. There lives

not now in England, we may well believe, a man who would scruple to

102

use a Roman road because, perchance, Of it may pass over the broken hearth-Footpaths

stone of Caractacus or Boadicea. It is only the recency of a wrong which makes it wrong at all. But he who can unconcernedly turn aside into a countrylane must have little left of the feeling of a good citizen; and none but a gipsy, or a hardened tourist by profession, can use a bare footpath without some painful emotion. It requires that contempt for our law which only great learning in it can produce to arrive at the callous state of mind of one who was lately a Judge amongst us. Of him it has been said that, being taken kneedeep in growing corn or waving grass, he would offer to the capturing rustic a shilling, and say, "Take notice that I claim no right of way here; and I offer you this money as compensation for the damage done by my trespass." Such dealing is impossible to him who sees in the permission of the law but a poor justification to set before an offended sense of rectitude, and to whom no amends whatever for a violated right may seem the same thing as the observance of it. It is a rude conscience that can satisfy itself for an advantage taken of deviations from Scintillae propriety by earlier wayfarers in re-Juris peating that "communis error facit jus;"

for this hideous maxim of our law is a direct incitement to the taking of that initial step in illegality which shall become a precedent for other wrongs, and so in time a starting-point of legal

right.

Perhaps, however, to the quite unthinking troop-who may use them as the cattle do-these footpaths are not so dangerous. They cannot be to any one without some ill effect, some blurring of the edges between mine and thine, between the allowable and the illicit; but it is chiefly to the thoughtful, the unemployed seeker after health or pleasure, that their use must be harmful. A donkey may travel them. and may emerge again upon the turnpike no worse a donkey than he left it -for not every ass is so sensitive as Balaam's to the dangers of the road: but to a man of urban habits this were impossible. Such a deviation from the ascertained and limited road, which is his because it is the Queen's, will destroy in him that unquestioning assent to the exclusion of the public from most land, except it be paved and lighted, which twenty years' familiarity 104

with Pall Mall has induced and con- Of firmed. Let such an one be stopped Footpaths by some stern tenant of newly planted acres just as he has taken ten steps upon an undedicated road, and his first excuse will betray the extent of his confusion of thought, the obliquity of his moral vision. He will give up his intention of proceeding; he will perhaps apologise for having come to the point where he stands; but he will at once claim a title to repeat his trespass in a contrary sense, and will be violent instead of contrite if it be denied that he have any right to go back again.

It were easy to go on from this point -when I have demonstrated the lurking dangers of all footpaths-and to show how these treacherous ways have their counterparts extending their reticulations over all the surface of human affairs. The philosopher may, if it please him, notice in the "agitations" with which in politics we have become so carelessly familiar only another example of the footpath, pushing itself sinuously but surely towards and across the fields of rightful owners—soon to be broadened and beaten into a public road, and at last handed over to the

Scintillae authorities of the State. It is for this Juris reason, we may suppose, that the nicely

constituted mind shrinks from participation in popular movements; for, indeed, they are but trespasses-invading, it may be, a pleasant region somewhat wastefully cultivated, but trespasses none the less. This is the truth, we may fancy, concerning that "germ" theory in legislation with which we were lately made acquainted. might, indeed, be equally well known as the footprint theory: the more lawrespecting raiders look anxiously on the ground they would enter for the vestige of a trespasser before them, and point to the trace of a wrong they have discovered as sanctioning those they would commit.

Le premier pas is always a terminus

a quo.

HAIL, misty morn, whereon our Cras
Law awakes
Animarum

From slumber, and with clear if veiled

eyes,

Does from her couch of wool refreshed arise;

Her powdered locks adown her shoulder shakes;

And for her Courts her chamber dim forsakes;

But first her homely aspect must disguise

In coif, and ermine, and those regal dyes

With which rude Force her strength majestic makes.

To thronging suitors—who her coming wait.

With plaint of meditated wrong—her aid

Fair Equity, Law's gentler sister, brings;

Hastening to meet them even at her gate,

To still their fears ere yet they seem afraid.

And guard the young beneath her ample wings.







## MEDITATIONS IN THE TEA ROOM & BY THE HON. MR. JUSTICE DARLING

FOURTH EDITION

Order is broke in thinges of weight,
Measure and meane who doth not flee,
Two thinges prevaile, money and sleight,
To seeme is better than to be.
THE EARL OF SURREY

## PREFACE TO FIRST EDITION

THESE MY MEDITATIONS ARE the result of those hours of leisure of which public life is for the most part

made up.

Since they are the production of a Member of Parliament they will, I doubt not, be allowed some value; whether because all statements made by us are entitled to the respect they commonly receive, or because the true thoughts and views of a Member were never before publicly expressed, I care not

to inquire.

I wish I could have gratified those of my constituents whom, in spite of their voting against me, I still represent, by putting my name upon the title-page of this little volume. But to have the courage of one's opinions is too dangerous a possession for me to claim—preferring, as I do, to have the good opinion of the world rather than to deserve it.

н



N LISTENING ATTENTIVELY, as is my habit, to the debates, I Meditations find that I travel far away from the in the Tea business in hand as a consequence of following too closely those who discourse about it. That I may return to the point in issue I withdraw to the Tea Room and wait until my thoughts, driven and scattered in all directions, come back from the voyages upon

which they have been sent.

Though I do not remember to have been able to notice anything novel, or indeed anything at all whilst I continued on these journeys outwards, yet in returning pensively from some of them I have picked up curiosities which I had not noticed before. Why this should be so I know not. Perhaps it happens because, when following another, we go out fast along a single path which he knows by experience, and, being abandoned at the end of it, we grope our way back again by many devious ways. Perhaps it is because we always go backwards into the future, as Passo, the first dei Passi rode home from the Crusades when he bore the sacred flame which still flickers in Florence, keeping our eyes fixed upon the nearest portion of the past;

1 so that the discoveries we make and Meditations hold are ever something short of the in the Tea farthest point that we have reached.

In any case, to explore we must turn round. It seems to me that we find what is fresh in retracing our steps rather than in running forwards; as we open up a new world by ascending the Nile till we come to the oldest.

Wherefore I care not to be of those who profess to remark the latest footprint and start forwards from the toe of it. On a globe such a manner of travelling onwards may be neither so easy, nor so direct, as going backwards—as any thoughtful person, especially if he happen to be a geographer, will at once admit.

Prejudice apart, I can go very well to the east by turning my back upon Constantinople, and following the

setting sun.

So when what we are pleased to call "the West" was discovered by Columbus he voyaged to "the East" of set purpose, carrying a letter to the Cham of Tartary, and only discovered America by unhappy accident. That he did, by taking this course, chance to run into so considerable an obstacle as a new world may seem to make against 116

the manner of journeying which I, and Columbus before me, have adopted. Meditations But, for my part, I see in it rather in the Tea a proof that novelty exists all around us, and that we are as likely to make discoveries by taking one road as another; while any path will lead us forwards, and back again, if only we walk long enough right on.

In the complete cycle of eternity—if ever it be completed—the most distant future must touch and join the remotest past; while the present is the antipodes of both—as any geometrician can prove

for such as may doubt.

Events are of little importance at the time of their birth. We do not understand them-for at first nothing speaks plainly—and when they have learned our own language they talk only commonplace.

As to the future we know the name of it, and that is all. So much of it as we can see is in effect the present. For the rest 'tis good to gamble with

in many fashions.

But a mere trifle in the past is often, by its position, of a real magnitude unattainable by anything in all the time to come. Thus, to go back no further, we see that the existence of Adam and Eve

in the Tea Room

is by the scientific treated as of vastly Meditations more interest and importance than that of all the millions in the latest census.

The first man who stood upon the earth was himself subsequent to the greatest of all wonders, and it is no marvel that for ages the world was content to look back.

We may make much ado, unreflecting, over the marriage of a king. But ask Mr. Darwin whether it is comparable in significance to the courtship of the earliest oyster.

We should learn more from the first monkey, he would tell you, than from

the last man.

Wherefore, let us set out from this our advanced position, and return upon our steps without delay.

It occurs to me here that I had not before mentioned any point, advanced or otherwise, to which I had been led. It is a fact, however, that I had listened for hours to a dispute concerning the image and legend to be stamped upon the half-pence for use in one of our distant provinces.

What a world lies behind us if we

start from half-pence!

T WAS VERY NATURAL THAT the first man who thought himself Meditations of more consequence than his neigh- no the Tea bours should have desired to be king and reign over them; but it is little short of marvellous that they should have ever allowed him to do so; for, as Algernon Sidney observes, "there is no natural propensity in man beast to monarchy." Publicists generally refer the origin of kingship to some organiser and leader of a band of thieves and cut-throats, and suppose that, upon the success of their enterprise, they who had committed many crimes of treachery and violence submitted themselves to him who had perpetrated more-hailed him primus inter pares, and thenceforth obeyed him, and at length his grand-daughters. Although this explanation of the beginning of monarchy is less majestic than that theory of divine right which lingers yet about the world, it is I fancy the true one none the less. Le premier qui fut roi fut un soldat heureux.

It would seem then that the pillaging of neighbours was the first object of monarchical government, and all other States were, doubtless, organised to the same end. It is, however, commonly

H in the Tea Room

said that the State was formed for Meditations purposes of defence and protection: and this may be in a manner correct; for strategists are agreed in considering that such objects are best attained

by a vigorous offensive.

I notice the basis of States not merely on account of the historical interest attaching to all speculation on such matters, but because a wrong opinion upon this subject is, as it seems to me, at the root of many of the sayings and doings of persons of a fitful importance and influence amongst us. To me it appears an error to suppose that all large bodies exist for the preservation of the small, and that strength is only to be exercised in order to the perpetuation of weakness. It is observing the real reason for the existence of States, that we must recognise how foolish it is to affect sympathy for the little assemblies of men who, because they speak a language known to no people but themselves, imagine they are entitled to all the advantages obtained by those who receive as their due large concessions representing exactly that which they could take were they minded to be rapacious.

Whatever be the form of its government, a State is but a body of a certain Meditations number whose prejudices or interests in the Tea do on the whole separate them less from one another than from the rest of The unity amongst these the world. people is not generally considerable, yet autonomy, or independence, they ever profess to desire above all earthly things. Severance from other nations, selfish and sacred isolation from those who dwell beyond a river, or a hill, this is what they really hope to obtain. But men whose own domain is well secured by the obstacles of nature are of all the most predatory and aggressive. Security from attack soon suggests the invasion of less protected districts. No people would trouble itself with any State organisation, if its only hope and purpose were to remain in contentment at home. individual, the family, the tribe, may make up the nation; but the soldier, the company, the regiment, are necessary to constitute the State. since there must be a country to forage over, or no supplies can come to hand, the taxpayer, the collector, the treasurer, are as needful as the sabre and the gun. An apparatus for supplying the means

TI Room

to act in concert must be provided; Meditations and it is for this that we have tyrannies, in the Tea monarchies, federations, and republics, with all their details of princedoms and duchies, their senates, parliaments, and assemblies, each with its appropriate incidents of cabinet, and cabal, caucus, and committee.

> I know that it is the common view of lawyers that the State exists to secure the liberties of its citizens; but ecclesiastics have been not less firmly persuaded that its chief purpose is to provide tithe, and oppress heretics. The people at large cannot be said to agree very well as to what is the true object of the State; though each class looks for favour to itself, and is ready to complain loudly of similar pretensions in others.

> Now it is the merest affectation to speak of the State as the means of securing liberty, however that word be defined; for, as there can be no State without subjects, it is plain that freedom is exactly that which all States are designed to abolish. If, however, we once concede that we desire the "liberty of wise restraint," we admit that coercion is what we would have in the place of choice. Obedience,

though compelled by the gentle force of the humanest laws, is no more free- Meditations dom than is abject submission to a not the Tea conquering host. This is no reproach to civilisation; only it is sometimes not sufficiently remembered by reformers.

Though those who have chosen to themselves rulers have deliberately agreed not for the future to do as they please, some do nevertheless constantly struggle to get back something of what by implication they have relinquished. These things they call their rights, and speak as though they had possessed and afterwards unjustly lost them; yet the matter may be otherwise regarded, if one will impartial. If the companions of the Vikings did not reserve their "rights of conscience," this is probably because they knew nothing of the pleasure to be derived from praying in a manner annoying to one's fellows; but it is quite possible that they were aware of this pastime, and thought it unseemly. If they did not stipulate for the "liberty of the press," this is perhaps because the liberties later taken by the press were not known to them; though it may be that they disliked such licence, and

H Room

resolved to forego it. Those who have Meditations erected for posterity a cathedral or in the Tea a palace may intentionally have built

them a prison as well.

I would not be understood to mean that the people have been unwise in fighting for charters, liberties, and settlements; yet the benefits they have most hardly obtained are, perhaps, not so valuable as is commonly supposed, and are never thoroughly enjoyed by any except the turbulent and litigious. The dissent of a dissenter makes, after all, but a small part of his life; a Quaker were little the worse for having to take an oath now and then; nor does a peaceful citizen often need a habeas corpus. Many of those incidents of our Constitution which some represent as its foundations are in fact no more than luxuries of complaint, enjoyed by reason of the general content which prevails. And this wide-spread contentedness itself is the effect less of freedom at home than of domination abroad. "A few paternal acres" will satisfy nothing but an ox.

If we as a nation have given up following each of us his own will, this can be made up to us only by our taking away at least as much liberty from other peoples. Perfect anarchy Meditations may remain within its own city or Room country, but they who consent to be governed by the opinion of one or the majority among them, will repay themselves for their concessions at home by dictating elsewhere. When the French, after 1793, found that even republicans must follow some one, they strove to make the world follow them; and the tricolour at once began to go the round of Europe. They had not, on the whole, that large measure of success which has attended the arms of England; and, having for near a century been in the end unhappy in their enterprises abroad, they have not yet turned themselves to the righting of minute grievances at home. Had Villeneuve won Trafalgar, and Bonaparte Waterloo, I daresay there would now be salt-spoons and sugar-tongs in all the restaurants of Paris.

As to ourselves, we probably make more laws than suffice, merely to have the pleasure of widely enforcing them. We impose freedom on some who have not cared to seek it; and although for public instruction we dredge up from the bottom of the ocean creatures H

which at once explode in our hands, Meditations we do not remember the lesson when in the Tea we would remove accustomed pressure from off other low organisations.

Moreover, the knowledge that we are rulers in so many lands leads us to make every-day use of what were of old the privileges of kings. The right by which our people claim to know the policy and opinions of the Government they do not rest more upon the justice of their deciding upon the laws they are to obey than on their responsibility for the effect which they suppose their precepts and example will have upon nations their dependents or disciples. It is as a "sovereign people" that they hold themselves entitled to the foot of the throne their bills and petitions, with no other explanation than C'est notre plaisir; to which the monarch meekly replies, Le Roy le veult. In England the Parliament is to the king what in France the king was to the Parliament. Perhaps it is strange that persons having resolved to decree something, should then throw upon another the responsibility of declaring their will to be their law. But men have ever sought the sanction of vague authority for acts which they consider 126

important; and, while some would listen to nothing less than the word of an Meditations oracle, others have been satisfied with Room tossing up a halfpenny. Which of these processes the making of Acts of Parliament most resembles I leave to the decision of those who have to study and enforce them.

I have now intimated sufficiently my view that the State is rather a fortress. mainly designed for offence, than a city for refuge. If, with old writers, we liken it to a ship, then with a manof-war only can we make the comparison. It serves for us to live in, but cramps us sadly; securely enough we can sleep, but soundly never; discipline we bear, but love it no more than lime-juice. Meanwhile we can play the policeman, the missionary, and the bandit, as we choose. We can free the slave by enslaving his master; we can dress whole nations in our shoddy; we can dye that shoddy scarlet; we can teach the goose-step where we please; and cry "March!" And all this throughout an Empire so extensive that in some part of it thousands are always sitting down to breakfast - provided they are dying of hunger.

Room

It is from this point that we may best Meditations consider the position of a statesman. in the Tea To him the State is precisely what his army is to a general, and, as there have been "retreating generals" and "fighting generals"—both very valuable in their way-so have we had statesmen who, though commanding in chief, have spent all their energies in defending little block-house upon frontier of affairs while the enemy has been at the gates of their capital. So have we seen, since Commodus, those who preferred the common arena, and ignoble weapons, to all that higher strategy which their position required them to employ. Many have we followed, gallant as the warriors of La Vendée, or obstinate as that corporal who chose to be decapitated by a Chinaman rather than admit that the Queen of England is less directly descended from the moon than is the Celestial emperor himself. But few indeed are the names of those who, over all the field of battle, and through all the dust and smoke of the combat, have looked forth with calmness to act with wisdom. Numberless are the little commanders whose plan for ten campaigns has been simply to relax 128

discipline, to improve the rations, or to II carry forward a banner because it is an Meditations in the Tea old one.

Room

Why should we not . . .



KNOW NOT WHERE MY REflections would have led me-for Meditations indeed I was about to ask myself some in the Tea momentous question, to which, I doubt not, I had a sufficient answer prepared -but at that instant of time, which, as will have been noticed, I have carefully indicated by asterisks, I was summoned to take my part in a division of the House.

When, after performing this ceremony, I returned to my arm-chair, I began to remember—with something of regret, perhaps—that in a space of twenty minutes I had pledged myself to a war with some dusky potentate whose name I could not recall to my memory-if indeed, I had ever heard it. Thus my thoughts, which I hoped I had marked in such manner that I could return and pick them up at my leisure, were nowhere to be found again. In vain did I at last remember to have arrived at the point, "Why should we not . . . ?" Beyond this all was waste, desolate, uncertain.

Ah, had I but been allowed to ask. and answer, that one question, who can say what results would not have followed? Let not any one conclude that I should have settled nothing remark-

III in the Tea Room

able because, up to that point, no Meditations definite gain had resulted from my cogitations. By what right do you, who jog his elbow, complain that the astronomer discovers no new planet? In that moment it has passed across the heavens . . . perhaps.

But, did I design to abandon here the attempt to regain the place at which just now I had arrived, should I allow myself to accept each invitation to digression as it presents itself, what a chapter could I not write on divisionbells! No: I am resolved to resume, if possible, the line of my interrupted

meditations.

And yet, those division-bells. Tyrannous as are all bells, surely they are the most tyrannical. Even now they obtrude themselves upon me, though I have firmly determined to think no more about them.

Still they repeat that they will be heard, in spite of all my resolutions not to listen. For other alarums-to consider them for a moment only-I could find much to say in their praise. dinner-bell, the passing-bell, and even the marriage-bells, all of them commend themselves to us, to our toleration, at the least; for are not dinners.

death, and marriage indispensable? and do not men forget to dine, more to Meditations marry, and to die? But why divide? Room and why so often? Is union grown so common, and so harmful, that we must all be seeking occasions for distrust and difference? And are we so likely to forget to express our dissent that we must have bells and clappers to recall us from our inattention?

I will finish here this chapter, for I am resolved to tolerate in it no digression, and I see that I am fast approaching some delicate questions relating to factions and their justification. Here, then, they shall not intrude. I banish them. I banish them to the other side of the page, which, unless you look there, is as good as the end of eternity.



OTHING COULD WELL BE IV more interesting, nor more un. Meditations profitable, than to inquire whether in the Tea among savages the best or the worst of them first formed for themselves some ruling power. Probably both sorts of men found their account in choosing chiefs and making laws. It is to be supposed that all see some advantage in having a Government, whatever be the form of it; and it is to the credit of the English that they have contrived to believe themselves free, and to find themselves happy, under so many degrees of restraint as have at different times been imposed upon them.

The English Constitution has this supreme merit: it is founded on mutual jealousy and distrust, and not upon any of those refined but false theories which have dazzled and deceived so many other nations. "Si I'on veut lire," says Montesquieu, "l'admirable ouvrage de Tacite sur les mœurs des Germains, on verra que c'est d'eux que les Anglais ont tiré l'idée de leur gouvernement politique. Ce beau système a été trouvé dans les bois." like this sylvan origin, and to trace its indications in the upas trees and other growths which even now overshadow

IV in the Tea Room

us. But to find a system of Govern-Meditations ment in the woods, what was it? The explanation is not far to seek. The essential part of our political system has over and over again been declared to consist in the checks provided to prevent any portion of the Government from acting for itself and carrying out fully its own views of what is pedient.

> Sometimes this means compromise, at others coercion; it has meant civil war, but whichever form it may take,

it is always part of our system.

In the woods, it may be, there was less compromise than now, and more coercion, or of a different sort; but, perhaps, men at that time only opposed proposals when they disapproved of them, and not because honour or consistency, as we say now, obliged them to differ always from certain other men. That a method which allows the King to declare war against the friends of the people, the Commons to ordain taxes for the Lords to pay them, and the Peers to reject bills which affect only the Commons, should have been found so agreeable to our natures, can only be accounted for by noticing that each has his chance of annoying 136

another. Thus a constant irritation is kept up, very stimulating to the body Meditations politic, which seems to find it—as Lord in the Tea Shaftesbury, in his "Characteristics," Room says some did the itch-" highly accept-

able and delightful."

I suppose it was in the woods, where they had observed the good effects of having now a fine day and then a wet one, that our ancestors conceived the happy idea of governing by party. Other nations might think a king, or a democracy, perfection for governing purposes-no one ever thought that half the people, or rather more, would invariably be in the right. But to be ruled first by one half and then by the other-first by those we esteem wise and good, and then by those we hold foolish and vicious-this was a fresh notion indeed, and every way worthy of woodmen.

It is the fault of some representative Governments that they have allowed many to persuade themselves that the people are righteously governed only when their own wishes, or those of the majority, prevail. Yet no active politician believes anything of the kind. The representatives of the people being chosen to rule them and bind

IV

them, as kings were chosen to do-Meditations have afterwards only that obligation to in the Tea which an absolute monarch was sub-Room ject; they must act honestly, but are not bound to please. I have no doubt that this proposition will seem false to many who have the franchise, and who think themselves entitled to be consulted at every turn in affairs. leaders, however-even the most popular of them-have acted in accordance with the theory I have just expressed. Without staying to accumulate examples of this, I may mention the appeal which in 1874 Mr. Gladstone's Government made from the Parliament to the electors. That he had misunderstood the wishes of the nation, every one knows, and he fell from power to post-cards as a consequence of his mistake. But nobody imagines that he would have consulted the country at all had he suspected that it was against him. is equally certain that Lord Beaconsfield would have more than doubled his influence on the Continent in the autumn of 1877 if he had dissolved the Parliament, and had received the votes of the majority at the following election; but, knowing very well that of this there was no chance, he 138

preferred to govern the people by those who represented them, but not Meditations their opinions, rather than to give up Room

either his policy or his place.

Whether or not party government is more absolute where the form of monarchy has been preserved, is a nice question. I am inclined to think that, where there is a Sovereign, Ministers may be more unscrupulous than where there is none; for the theory that the Ministry are responsible for the advice they give to the Crown in effect converts that into mere counsel which else were an act done with their own hands; and part of the popular wrath will be spent upon the King and his permanent executive, to the relief of his removable counsellors. This is not the less true now that the monarch is never in effect a member of the opposition. It was easy enough for George III. to take advantage of the unpopularity of the Coalition Ministry, for the term "His Majesty's Opposition" then meant a body who received more of his confidence than did his Ministers; but when no protest from the throne is ever heard, whatever the Cabinet may resolve to do, it is impossible for the Sovereign not to share to a greater

Room

extent the unpopularity of a policy than Meditations it was in the days when the people were in the Tea told that Hanover was contemplated by the King as a refuge from his Ministers and the acts they did in his name.

> The principal difficulty which now stands in the way of those whose lot it is to govern by means of a party is caused by the large amount of accord which really exists amongst all the present electors and their representatives, although they are divided into factions professing different opinions. ment in principle has never yet prevented politicians by profession from opposing one another, and it seldom been difference of opinion only which has kept them apart. Pitt would have been a Whig to his death, as he was on first entering the House of Commons, but that there were just then too many Whigs of ability, and when he did not receive a place in the Rockingham Administration, he saw open to him a greater part as a Tory than as a Whig of secondary importance. There is not room in an English party for two men of remarkable abilities and of nearly the same age or experience; as there is not place for two kings on one throne. If a man of 140

ambition begins party life without much consideration—as is generally the case Meditations —it is often a necessity that he shall in the Tea change his allegiance, and carry his abilities where they are most needed, or can most benefit himself. It is no more a reproach to Pitt that he started as a Whig than to Erskine that he changed the uniform of the army for the robe of Chancellor; nor was Mr. Gladstone more bound to remain a Tory than Fox was. If a party leader remain an Englishman we have all we can expect from the best of them. But though, as I have said, the chiefs will contrive not to be all on the same side. it is nevertheless difficult to set in array against each other large bodies of men who have no great gain to hope for in the strife, and no real hatred for those they have been taught to call their enemies. Now it is the fact that at present there is nothing a man can ask for at the hands of the Legislature which he is not as likely to get from one of our political parties as from the other; although one of them may give freely from another's store, and the other grudgingly from his own.

It, is a terrible thing to contemplate, but it is possible that the complete

IV Meditations in the Tea Room

prevalence of liberal opinions may in timedo away altogether with the Liberal party; and it is indeed questionable whether this process has not already proceeded so far, by reason of the enlightenment and political education of every one, that it is high time to invent some disputable matters to replace the worn-out ones we received from our grandfathers, lest our boasted system of Government perish in general agreement.

It is easy to see that theology will form the subject of our next divisions; but even these quarrels may be arranged, and the question what to divide about will present itself anew. Believing, as I firmly do, that it is of vital importance to the interests of country that the Russells and Stanleys, the Cavendishes and the Grenvilles, should continue their hereditary controversies after all the old topics of liberty of the subject, divine right, trial by jury, and non-resistance have been for ever laid to rest. I would suggest that science shall next become the prey of our politicians. I am sure that houses who have wrangled over the colour of their stockings, the curliness of their wigs, and the number and

position of the patches on their cheeks, will be at no loss to make cases of con- Meditations science out of the problems which Room natural science so plentifully presents. Besides the improvement in our foreign policy which would result from the withdrawal of it from the number of subjects criticised and explained on the hustings, there would be the further advantage to be found in the assistance which astronomers, and all other professors of the sciences and arts, could not fail to derive from learning the views of those free and independent electors who have never hitherto been abashed by any problem presented to them, no matter how new or abstruse.

It is as necessary to the working of our Government that there should be two parties in the State as that there should be King, Lords and Commons; but it is not at all required that these parties should diverge upon questions of vital or even of considerable importance to the body politic; and it were to be wished that, whether they are reduced to it by necessity or not, they would contrive to contend about matters of such a nature that the strife could bring no good to the foes of the country. Let it be recognised that

in the Tea

Room

parties are designed for the purpose of Meditations giving to every one his turn in governin the Tea ing his fellows, which agreement renders impossible, and then divide into opposing bands upon any question you please—as whether an acid should

be preferred to an alkali.

If it be said that the public would not interest itself in such questions enough to divide and do battle about them, I would point to that well-known loyalty which each party attributes to itself, and which I concede impartially to both of them. Should the chiefs of our present factions command it, their followers would interest themselves in algebra, or pronounce a firm opinion as to the composition of the sun, so soon as they had learned what declaration was expected of them on that subject.

There is one resource of English party leaders which those of other nations possess for the most part in a far less degree; and it is so useful that we should be careful to use it economically: as when once employed it can never be replaced. One might despair of producing new combinations, so as to give each party its turn, were it not for the fact that, not having a universal

suffrage, we have the means of adding to one of our parties from time to time; Meditations and so producing among the electors Room that balance which is effected in the House of Lords by the creation of When equilibrium has been produced as the result of our political see-sawing, things can only be set moving again by adding to one of the equalised forces; and this increase has so far been always given to one party. Perhaps, however, when the considerable fund of low life, which happily is yet left to us, shall have been completely exhausted, we shall see the opposite party enlarged by the granting to wealth, or high intelligence, a greater share of power than that to which mere existence entitles every man; for, it is probable that those who are comparatively able, or rich, will ever exceed in number all those who have nothing whatever in common with their fellows but a descent from Adam, large as this number is. Therefore when the suffrage has been for some time as universal as life I shall expect to see it become as unequal.

Let then the Liberals have a care. lest hastening the reduction of all classes to a level as complete and wide

K

as that of the waters in Noah's flood, IV Meditations they do but prepare for such a heaping in the Tea of them up in mountains as we have Room

seen ever since the moon has shone upon us and them alike. Let them be warned by that alacrity which the Tories have shown to anticipate the people's demand for a larger share in public affairs. Let them consider whether, whenever a lower stratum is added to their party, the upper layer of that party is not at once detached from it, and after a time joined on to the body of their opponents. When a dozen new members take their seats on your benches to the left of the Left, it is not unlikely that the Centre is not over-pleased with the crowding, and that the Right receives some fugitives, and succours them.

There are some who so dislike government that they will support no faction when it is in power. This often makes a departed Ministry appear to have more friends than it has.

If an opposition had many scruples it would never return to power. 146

To sacrifice one's honour to one's IV party is so unselfish an act that our Meditations most generous statesmen have not hesing the Tea tated to do it.

\* \*

In affairs no men are consistent except the dishonest.

\* \*

A Ministry, when it is certain to be soon displaced, should undertake some grand and impossible piece of legislation, or policy, that they may appear to fall in a splendid cause, rather than in the ordinary ignoble vicissitude of things.

\* \*

A Conservative administration is in politics what a "mixed occupation" is in war.



HAVE THOUGHT SOMETIMES that we may hear sermons in our Meditations churches—and not the worst ones in the Tea preached there—though there be no one in the pulpit. Indeed, I doubt if so much wisdom was heard inside the church of Stoke Poges as Gray contrived to discover in its graveyard.

It is a habit of mine, when there is much difference of opinion in the Palace of Westminster, to take a turn round the Abbey. Not that I seek the gratification of beholding once active opponents of my party now reduced to the silence of dust and the repose of marble. Not that I would find quiet; for, indeed, there are more voices about one in those deserted aisles than ever were in Babel. Nor do I go altogether to hearken to the great voice of Johnson, nor to catch the modulated tones of Cowley. I pass by all that remains of the glory of a hundred victories only to stay where blossoms the first of Tudor roses. Yet I am little of a botanist.

In fact all the roses of York and Lancaster, to say nothing of those of Shiraz, have no part in my coming to the Abbey, or pausing in my rambles about it, and I only mentioned them by Room

way of showing that I have really Meditations visited the ancient Minster, and to inin the Tea dicate, in a manner in keeping with the scenes around me, that I have reached the point I had started for.

It is an excellent allegory wherein this kingdom is represented, which we see on the tomb of Henry VII.-a crown hanging on a bush. Whether the diadem of these realms fell among the gorse on the field of Bosworth, or whether we are to understand, as we look on the emblem of kingly power, that the thorns sprang up and choked it, you may—for you will—decide according to your prejudices. I know very well the idea of it which will best please some cynical Republican as he notices what it is that wears the crown. It will not occur to him that he has before him an image of the divinity that doth hedge a king; and, for that matter, perhaps he has not. For me, I care not what it signifies; 'tis a rare allegory, and I have my own notion of its meaning. Thank heaven, I can revere the crown as much when I see it on a bush as though, in all pomp, it surmounted . . . a cushion.

Let no one suppose that I came near

to writing another word, or that I intend here any disrespect to the cushion; Meditations which, I take it, is neither more nor in the Tealess than public consent made palpable and very becomingly represented. I profess that I have as much reverence for popular opinion in this shape as in any other of the many forms it from time to time assumes.

It is well, I am sure, that we should consider sometimes this sculpture of the crown upon the bush; for we are apt, when we see the regalia in all their splendour, to forget that the ermine does not stand upright of itself. In the chapel at Westminster we find a useful comment on the observation of Sir Thomas Elyot, that "Apparayle may be wel a parte of maiestie."

Your Highness is right. The other part of majesty may be the will of the sovereign. Your Lowlinesses have reason. It may be a furze bush.

\* \*

Kings, like diamonds, serve many useful ends, and are yet most esteemed because of their rarity.

恭 朱

Rebellion is the prerogative of subjects.



T ONCE WAS A SAYING, VI popular in this country, and M. Meditations de Montalembert quotes it with apinthe Tea Room proval, that "the public business of England is the private business of every' Englishman." Yet, attractive as this statement must be to all those whose private business consists chiefly of interference in the affairs of others. I think that it is as fallacious and harmful as are most fine-sounding axioms. One might say, with not less justice, that the public-houses of England are the private houses of Englishmen. And perhaps this sentiment may some day serve to captivate a meeting of total abstainers, or a conclave of domestic drunkards.

The public business of England, even if it be the business of every Englishman, is his public business, and not his private business at all. He is not to consider that he has as good a right to be made intimately acquainted with the affairs of his country as with the doings in his counting-house; for, although any public act may affect him and his particular interests, it will certainly touch hundreds of his countrymen whose private affairs are as sacred as his own. An insistence on the teachin the Tea Room

ing of this maxim as a principle of Meditations government has before now, and lately, led to the establishment for a time of another rule, which may be stated thus, "The private business of every Englishman is the public business of England." That such a notion must, generally received, postpone all affairs, and all far-seeing policy, to the accomplishment of such purposes as commend themselves to the retail trader in grievances, and the manufacturer of discontent for home consumption, does not need proof in the face of instances still recent. If any one requires to be convinced, let him turn to the statutes of the last ten years, let him read the questions to Ministers and the debates in the Houses of Parliament, and, noticing how much they are occupied with "the private business of every Englishman," let him calculate the effect of what he sees upon the "public business" of a country which must certainly have a good deal, if only it were attended to.

People who are once persuaded that public business is the same thing as their own private adventures think themselves not less qualified to judge of the one than of the other; and,

moreover, would conduct both upon VI the same principles. The tradesman Meditations who, in the County Court, has seen his Room neighbour compelled to retire behind a coveted party-wall, or driven from a convenient, but exclusive, pump, loudly proclaims the suppression of international trespass by means of international litigation. The vestryman who has beheld the police force of his parish reduced by two, and yet finds no corresponding diminution in the number of his spoons, insists that our armaments are an unnecessary burden to a country with which no one is The right of Englishmen to at war. know what is doing it is too late now to deny: but it is most unfortunate that the admission of a man's claim to be informed of events should always lead him to suppose himself acknowledged to be able to understand their importance to him. If a physician tell me that I have a fever, I do not conceive that I am then called upon to prescribe to him what remedy he shall send me; nor, if he propose one, do I dispute with him upon its merits while my disease hastens on its course. patients were to become cavillers and disputants, we should find ourselves

VI in the Tea Room

visited by a rhetorician whenever we Meditations had sent for the doctor.

> But from our considering the public business in the same light as that which we best understand, it results that administrators are chosen, not because they are men likely to work wisely, or to commit few indiscretions, but for their skill in justifying blunders before an assembly which practically consists of the whole populace. Any one who can prove, like Pangloss, that each fresh disaster is but another step towards perfection, is more likely to be made a Minister than he who has judgment enough to avoid compromising situations. Even so, if each Minister had none but his own mistakes to explain, or to suffer for, it would be possible to have sometimes in power a cautious and intelligent statesman, though he were gifted with dumbness; but, seeing that every member of a Government is liable to be called upon to defend measures, or negligence, for which he is in no way to blame, we are obliged to give our seals and portfolios to the fluent rather than to the thoughtful. Constant criticism by the public, coupled with their valued, but somewhat turbulent, procedure by meeting 156

and petition, necessitate the maintenance by the State of a company Meditations of professional apologists. Moreover, Room the existence in Parliament of an Opposition, whose business it is to appear always as the Devil's advocate, and to argue against everything which keeps them from enjoying the honours, emoluments, and patronage incident to office, must fill every Ministry with men whose sole recommendation to their associates is to be found in their readiness of speech and their freedom

from scrupulosity.

The constitutional theory that the existence of an organised Opposition is justified by the obligation they are under to take office if their enemies are driven from it, must surely have originated when office was more dangerous, and less desired, than now. It is but a small consolation to the country at large to be assured that if Mr. A. wrongly turns out the Right Honourable Mr. B., then Mr. A. will be put in the vacant place, with a certain salary, and will some day be turned out again, a Right Honourable, with a certain pension. Perhaps endowment-a fashionable remedy now applied to many troublesome factions

VI Meditations in the Tea Room

-might diminish the injustice done by Oppositions in giving some chance to the conscience which they may be indued with, though no one has yet seen it at work. The rule which withholds from the Opposition all reward until they become Ministers in their turn seems not very just; since it is admitted that they are all the while performing valuable services to the State, and that they are as necessary a part of Parliamentary Government as the Ministry itself. But, besides that deserving demagogues, worthy agitators, and thoughtful criticisers of the course of Cabinets may go down to the grave in some suburban cemetery, though they may have merited to sleep by Fox; besides that they themselves may never have been rewarded for their labours by one ounce of public gold, nor their wives by one invitation to Court; there is yet another, and, perhaps, not smaller evil. When the Opposition enters upon office, the public service must be less regarded than the claims of those who have long denounced the public servants. and, amidst the shuffling and puzzlefitting which occurs, he who has successfully attacked the Postmaster-158

General is likely enough to be gratified with the control of the Navy, or the Meditations

direction of foreign affairs.

Now, were the Opposition even more recognised by the State-had they their robes and staves of office, their places and their titles, their salaries, perquisites and patronage-would not there be more of dignity in their dissent, more of value in their support, more of reason in their consistency? If now the Opposition approve of a Government proposal, the Ministry is convicted of playing the game of its opponents, or the Opposition is jeered at by its foes, and pitied for incompetence by its friends. Whether the momentary agreement between the hostile factions may be to public advantage there is no public to consider, for all of them are triumphing in one camp or weeping in the other.

It would be not the least of the recommendations to a paid Opposition that many who are anxious to be out of office would be content to leave it; and some who have a talent for being always on the unpopular side could gratify their taste without injury to their position or prospects.

in the Tea Room

Room

The observations which, in the Meditations solitude of the Tea Room, I address in the Tea to myself, are invariably of a noble and disinterested sort; but-whereas I am capable of the utmost magnanimity -myself, being indeed extremely myselfish, receives coldly most of my best advice. I constantly find that the beautiful and philosophic egoism which has been preached to me, and which I am prepared to accept, is by myself twisted into a grasping egotism, having in it no more of philosophy than has an heraldic motto. Thus it happened that no sooner had I, without a thought of my own advantage, made to myself the above remarks concerning the benefits to be derived from the institution of a subsidised Opposition, than myself slipped into its commendation of my scheme the suggestion that of such an Opposition I might hope to be In vain did I disclaim any such notion. No, said I to myself, the limits must be narrowed and jealously guarded. Merit only must be admitted into the Opposition, as into the Ministry. At this myself fell a-laughing at me, and I saw it was useless at that time to continue my argument.

OW SMALL ARE THE results produced by some of Meditations the grandest schemes of man! Here in the Tea has one of the most prized of our constitutional rights, in the course of its plenary exercise, produced nothing but a pain in the smallest of my toes. Yes, it has taken some hundred thousand signatures to cause me to sink into this chair instead of loitering in the lobby. For it was my misfortune just now to encounter a treatise in favour of the increased propagation of small-pox; which pamphlet—composed by a herbalistic medicine-man, and attached to a piece of paper, of the size and shape of a stair-carpet, and adorned with the signatures of all the blockheads of a country town-was being rolled along the passages to the House, which I chanced to be leaving. What is a human foot, though of the largest, opposed to the progress of such a mass as this? How long might a Patagonian hope to stay the course of it? For me, I did not delay it an instant; earning thus, I hope, some gratitude from its authors. Onward it trundled, onward, and into that limbo where itshall harmlessly moulder away, unread, unheeded.

L

in the Tea Room

What a machinery, I say again, to Meditations effect nothing but the crushing of one toe. Who were the worse, I ask myself, if this ceremony were abolished out of hand. Would the petitioners gainanything—evensmall-pox—if their petition were read, or if they could return the medicine-man to Parliament -as he doubtless hopes they will be able to do ere long-that he might there recite this composition of his?

Perfectly ordered representation of every man in a State may lead to not less injustice than does absolute tyranny. Indeed, a minority will often find its rights secured to it by a despot, for the very reason that it cannot even prefer complaints in the august presence of its ruler, from which presence the majority are also excluded. when grievances may be freely stated and submitted to the general vote, it will many times happen that freedom to remonstrate against injustice will be held a sufficient reason for allowing wrongs to go unredressed. Indeed, I imagine that we should treat all the brutes with much less consideration and kindness than we now use could we only be informed by them of their views upon the position they hold among us. 162

The mere right to remonstrate need bring but little good to those who exer- Meditations cise it; and the right to control legis- in the Tea lation and the acts of the executive may also be of but slight avail in the hands of people of small knowledge and many prejudices. In fact, such a right, which is all that the people can have in the most complete democracy, cannot amount to more than the power to reverse the course of Government by destroying every ruler in the land-an amount of freedom by which every despotism is necessarily limited. And, just as the excesses of revolutionists are invariably punished with harshness, so constant interference in affairs of State provokes reprisals, and does not the less incline rulers to resentment, because its recurrence happens to be guaranteed by a constitution.

It may well be doubted whether, if a man have most of those material advantages which it is in the power of the State to secure to him, he were not wise to decline the franchise should it be offered. So long as one must remain in comparative poverty, is it not mere folly to give up one's claim to pity and charity, in return for an acknowledgment that we can put into the

VII Room

ballot-box as much as any one in the Meditations land? An apparent injustice may be in the Tea worth more than many a position of credit, and has before now formed the stock-in-trade of a whole nation. Nor are such riches the most transitory; for he who has been oppressed leaves a goodly heritage to his children; and perhaps it is due to the testamentary instinct that men bear real wrongs so quietly, content in handing down to their descendants a valuable property in the amends to be made them.

Is it not a favourite theory of certain philosophers that happiness is, in the main, equally distributed to every one, and that there is no loss which is not accompanied by some compensating circumstance? And is this theory less applicable to the vicissitudes of politics than to the falling of an acorn from a tree before the snout of a pig beneath its branches? Thus an extension of the franchise necessitated the diminution of bribery, and many a borough acquired dignity, yet grudged the price of it. Here bribery was probably forbidden less in the interest of the sellers than of the purchasers of votes. 164

fact, the votes are still bought as effectually as ever, though they are paid Meditations for in a coin which men are more wil-Room ling to part with than that of the realm -such as principles, faith and honour. A mob which cannot hope to obtain a shilling a-piece can still exact obedience to its whimsical dictation; and this not less at the hustings than in times of revolutionary tumult, though less obviously. It is impossible not to perceive that in times when the multitude were not consulted on matters of State, they had their seasons of licence, and triumphed by turns over each of the elements of Government to which ordinarily they owed subjection. Such were the Saturnalia and the Feast of Fools. The Church was derided in the ceremonies of the Abbot of Unreason: the Monarchy in the junketings of Lords of Misrule, and the May-day royalty of chimney-sweepers.

But does not Davus still pluck his master by the ear? Of a truth he does, and compels him to hearken to most impudent diatribes and questionings. Are the Lords of Misrule now deprived of their lordship, or is their dominion confirmed and extended? Let me confess myself of the opinion of those

VII Room

who would attribute the vanishing of Meditations these mediæval pastimes to the spread in the Tea of political responsibility. But, though it be true that there are no such ebullitions of popular nonsense as formerly, it is equally certain that there is at no time any repression of it. There are no seasonable overflowing floods of folly, perhaps; but a constant and fertilising stream of it none the less

meanders through the land.

Yes, the Abbot of Unreason is represented in Parliament as, of course, he should be. I gainsay not his rights. Was not the Nabob of Arcot represented by seven or eight members? The Nabob, who paid no taxes. The Nabob, to whom the army of this realm was no burden, the laws of it no protection, the . . . Oh, what have I thought? what have I not written? On my word, I fear to push this parallel further, for the Nabob was disfranchised.

Truly it is an awful subject, this of representation. Let us agree that every interest shall be represented. individual, pure and simple—if there be such—shall have a voting paper. T66

though he have not a pocket to put it in. But concerning what shall he vote? Meditations Not about pockets, for he has none, in the Tea Not about land except such as he may be buried in. Or let him vote that he have the land and the purse of his neighbour, in order to give himself a locus standi in the discussion of rents and taxes. This is what must happen if the franchise is allotted to individuals and not to their position. Perhaps it were well to award a vote to every man and another to certain properties; as in some countries is seen, where he who buys a certain estate obtains with it a seat in the legislative body, or a pew in the parish church. Is there more reason why every man's vote should be of the same power as another's, than there is for all voices being of one compass? Surely if the sans culotte is to have his say on the making of breeches, the wearer of them might be heard once in his native right as sans culotte, and again in his artificial character of culotté.

At present breeches, coats, and even bonnets, are, like the Nabob of Arcot at the time I alluded to, largely represented in the Legislature. And this is why I stopped so abruptly on the point

VII of disfranchisement. Can I not en-Meditations franchise my friend Sans Culotte within the Tea out disfranchising the breeches he so longs to jump into?

\* \*

After all, has a man any right of choice whatever, merely because he is in the world, seeing it was left to others to decide whether he ever should enter it?

\* \*

Public wrongs are but popular rights in embryo.

T WAS GOOD ADVICE THAT VIII
Pythagoras gave to philosophers Meditations in the Tea
that they should abstain from beans in the Tea
Room -which was to say the ballot. This counsel was probably given in the interests of philosophy and the professors of wisdom, yet that should not prevent us from seeing how greatly such refraining from affairs would be to the advantage of the public service.

It may be doubted whether a philosopher ought even to be allowed to vote; but certainly he should never be elected by the people to represent them, unless they deliberately wish to forego their share in the government of the country. For I cannot suppose that the multitude will ever guide themselves by any recognised philosophy, or that a philosopher will go by any other rules than the particular ones of his favourite system.

Tell the people that, according to the laws of eternal wisdom, and immutable justice, they are entitled to a vote and a pot of beer a-piece, and their sweet reasonableness were worthy the groves of Academe; but call upon them afterwards to pay a fair price for these commodities, and none but the

160

VIII laughing philosopher would recognise

Meditations them for his disciples.

Room

Nothing, if we may believe public men, has contributed more to the advance of this country than our habit of not pressing arguments and measures to their logical conclusions. To enthusiastic doctrinaires this seems mere cowardice, or badness of heart. Reasonable men, who know that moderate purity is as sufficient in the conduct of ordinary affairs as in our supply of water, will be content with a reform which makes things innocuous, but leaves them still short of medicinal.

Who shall say that a few follies and excesses are not as natural, and, on the whole, as beneficial, in the life of a nation as of a man? Are not some wrongs the necessary occasion of virtues? Could this country ever have earned praise for its generosity if it had always been just? Beginning with so small a property, we could never have made a gift—such as that graceful one of the Ionian Islands—had we not first taken to ourselves the property of others.

\* \*

There are certain vices which are

far more attractive, even to an honest man, than some virtues; and to a Meditations healthy and vigorous people it will in the Tea ever be of less use to preach calcu-Room lating rectitude of conduct, than to be satisfied with guiding a little those impulses which in their main direction are good, though the reasoning from which they spring is none of the closest.

It has always pleased the English to assume an heroic character, and this is not consistent with methodical correctness; or, at least, has not hitherto been thought so. When we have all read and practised the theories of Mr. Mill, and his followers, we may, perhaps, be a very worthy people, and, like all of that class, play but a small

part in the world.

I console myself by thinking that it matters little to us what doctrines we feed on, so long as we do not alter the other and more substantial part of our diet. The country which deprives us fairly of our commerce will re-create a terrible horde of bandits. We shall hardly admit that we have no right to prefer our own interests to those of the great family of nations—as we have lately been taught-when we fairly

perceive that our philanthropy has lost Meditations us some material advantage. in the Tea Room

> Those members of the upper class who, with the intention of reconciling working people to their position in life, proclaim the dignity of labour, while at the same time they attempt a sort of renaissance among the vulgar, do not seem to me to take the surest way to the end they have in view. A laborious aristocracy will be easily tolerated by the people; who have reason to think all exertion unpleasant. So our upper classes are safe while they hunt, manage the roads and bridges, and drill the militia; but let them occupy their time chiefly with the gentler arts and sciences, and they will conciliate fewer than they fancy.

Who would be governed by painters

and decorators?

Amiable socialists who talk of improving the masses up to the intellectual level of those above them, by way of producing contentment, do not understand the question so well as those whom they would instruct. No revo-172

lutionists ever yet desired to level VIII society, either upwards or down. Meditations wards; but many have used this name in the Tea for turning it upside down.

\* \*

A just distribution of the burdens of taxation will never please the greater number of the people, until there are in the State more very rich men than poor ones.

\* \*

The greatest good to the greatest number imposes on the majority so severe a discipline that they are content to take less than their share.



UCH WAS NOT FORESEEN IX
by those who first advocated Meditations free trade that has followed inevitably in the Tea the prevalence of their opinions.

I may, for all I know, have seen the above sentence in a newspaper; but it is true none the less—and my dinner

suggested it to me.

I suppose few will deny that of late years there has been less of insularity -some would say less of patriotismamongst us than there was, and undoubtedly there has been more foreign meat and drink for us.

Questions of cause and effect are always difficult or doubtful, and I do not generally care to hazard an opinion on such subjects, but the coincidence between our cosmopolitan philanthropy and our free breakfast-tables, covered with the food of every clime, seems too

complete to be accidental.

That the modern popular affectation of citizenship of the world is due to a pabulum of some sort, I suppose no one will be inclined to deny. What the pabulum is may be a nice matter for controversy. You may look for it where you will; but, for my part, I think it is to be found on the wharves along the Thames.

IX Meditations in the Tea Room

They who ate out of the same dish were in all times taken to be in some way attached; and one cannot doubt that the souls of all the convives—which the ancients wisely held inhabited their stomachs—were brought into harmony by the sameness of their food. We know that all the lotus-eaters thought alike; and we have been told of "the Caledonian" that so long as he drank claret he was "firm and erect"—an attitude which we may suppose his present potations do not assist him to preserve.

Then is it not natural to expect that an olla podrida, composed of ingredients from all parts of the globe, will produce in those who make it their diet a mixture of sentiments and sympathies such as now composes public opinion in this

country?

I like not to push a theory too far, yet the proportion in which we consume the products of foreign countries might, perhaps, enable us to calculate the amount of influence which they severally exercise upon our hearts and understandings. If this be so, a new and inviting field is open to statisticians; and practical statesmen may see a fresh means of controlling popular 176

opinion in the manipulation of Customs duties.

IX
Meditations
in the Tea
Room

Who will maintain that the embargoes laid by us upon the goods of our enemies did not aggravate our differences, and so prolong our wars? And by this light can any one fail to see a new and touching significance in the application of the phrase "the most favoured nation" to that people whose fruits we encourage ourselves to eat?

It admits of easy proof that in the days of enthusiasm for the abolition of slavery there was a considerable taste for "old Jamaica," and our sugar was not then made from the beet-roots of

France.

The propagation of some epidemics has now been definitely traced to the importation of the germs of them in products from abroad; and he would be rash indeed who should pretend that some microscope of the future will not discover the embryos of Socialism in a German sausage, and a new scheme of party colour in a China orange.

That a country should be judged by its exports is inevitable; yet some nations have, doubtless, thereby suffered injustice. They will make few friends whose chief export is medicinal

IX rhubarb; but disorderly troops of emi-Meditations grants seem to conciliate even less good in the Tea feeling.

Room

If, as seems not unlikely, we feel more kindly towards other nations than they do towards us, is not this what we should expect from our partaking of their champagne, caviare, and macaroni, their olives, oranges, and bananas, while we send them in return only coal, cotton-cloth, and bars of iron?

DISTINCTION IS ALWAYS made between our home and Meditations our foreign affairs in that separate in the Tea Ministers are responsible for the conduct of each of them. Here, however, the difference too often ceases. Home Secretary must frequently deal with selfish, unreasonable, and criminal persons and classes; but he never meets with any whose conduct is so immoral as that of diplomatists of high rank; he finds none so unworthy of credit and of confidence as the most respectable potentates of Europe, because no one in England has such strong incentives to faithlessness as they who may lose a throne by keeping their word. Because all foreign policy must rely for its success upon the force by which, if necessary, it will be supported, and not upon justice or reason, what is called international law is in effect non-existent - the dream of jurists seeking to enlarge their field of That municipal law exists is due-though Baron Pufendorf says the contrary-not to any convention or contract between murderers, thieves, and other members of society, but to the fact that these offenders are in the power of the rest of the community,

X Room

because they are, relatively, few in Meditations number. In hardly any country can in the Tea an individual seriously wrong another without being made by the majority to suffer for it. The misdeeds of great Powers must, however, be avenged by those only who are hurt by them. If a strong nation unprovokedly assault a weak one, to what authority is there any appeal? To none; unless some States shall see a chance of intervening to their own advantage. Because there is no impartial human force above all nations, there is no law for them either, except in the text-books. A pirate is only" hostis humani generis" because he has but a little fleet, which the navy of no single State is too weak to vanquish.

The executive administering home affairs is strong enough to compel the observance of the ordinary rules of honest conduct : but it is seldom certain that the greatest wrong-doer abroad

can be corrected.

This should result in a complete variance between the rules applicable to the conduct of business abroad and at home; and it is necessary, though unusual, to judge acts done with reference to the Himalayas, or the Nile, by a standard wholly inappropriate to 180

any event which can happen on the Cotswolds or the Severn.

Meditations in the Tea

Our domiciliary policy may properly in the Tea be determined by the consideration of what is most fair to all, for that can be enforced. But beyond our shores expediency must be our sole motive; though justice, and even generosity may be put forward, and, perhaps, coincide with it. By expediency I do not mean that which too many only know by this name. It is not expedient to invariably show—like the "treatybreaking Lusitanians"—so little regard for our engagements as never to be trusted at all; but constant literal fidelity is equally undesirable, because when our enemies can be sure of the course which in any event we shall take, they cannot be embarrassed in their calculations as they would be were our attitude problematical. True expediency, however, is only to be detected after a nice examination of the questions at issue, and after a thorough consideration of all the effects. immediate and remote, direct and collateral, of any action we may take. resembles, indeed, true selfishness; which is understood to include charity, liberality, and politeness - provided

you enjoy the exercise of these qualities in the Tea Room

Meditations more than uncharitableness, meanness, and incivility. The opinion that honesty is the best policy—that is, the most profitable on the whole-has been more widely expressed than acted on: and if complete and undeviating probity is meant, this, perhaps, has never anywhere been tried. But there is no doubt that a policy into which an unusually large amount of honesty enters is, on utilitarian grounds, to be preferred to any other. Character is the best possession within the reach of those who have not splendid abilities; but it is not so necessary to a great genius, who can easily find means to excuse any deviation from rules of prudence or counsellings of honour. Still there is so much danger in permitting freedom of action to any one, that all but the most commanding intelligences should be rigorously held to the observance of the common principles. Thus one act of perfidy may be excused if it result in the gain of a province; and that though it create distrust of our word. But the next flagrant untruth should gain twenty provinces, or it will not be justifiable; for, as original mistrust among our T82

neighbours will have been increased in more than geometrical progression, Meditations so the object for which we incur it Room should grow in the same proportion.

Inconsistency may sometimes be expedient. Thus it is well now and then to quarrel a little with our best friends, lest they presume on our complaisance. We may take an opportunity to assist an enemy—that he may hope for our alliance, and so refrain from entering into intimate relations with his wellwishers, or perhaps treat them with Besides, howscorn or indifference. ever, the immediate gain which the temporary abandonment of an accustomed line of conduct may bring, there remains uncertainty as to the policy of the future; and though some may see in this nothing but harm, it is to be remembered in favour of devious statesmanship that there is in the world more of hope than of fear. No nation will at a serious crisis willingly offend a Government whose course is indoubt, even though that Government would not be very formidable when a declared adversary; for many can do us much good who can do us little positive harm.

Rank injustice and wrong may also now and then be expedient, as it may X Meditations in the Tea Room

be necessary to show some, who think that we have abandoned certain weapons which we formerly wielded to good purpose, that our hand has not forgot its cunning. A civilised nation will not be respected of barbarians by reason of its civility alone.

Atonement for injuries which we have done is most dangerous to make, especially in circumstances where it may be supposed that fear or great caution was the cause of repentance; but it may well be expedient to profess to repent of crimes never committed, as when we have profited by another's misfortunes but have not occasioned them. Though condolence and sympathy, unless they bring with them substantial offerings, are not often well received by the suffering, yet contrition has long been held as efficacious as reparation; and, involving as it does something of humiliation, even men often prefer it to all other amends.

The chief difficulty in doing what is most expedient arises from the obstinate reluctance of men to admit that they have pursued a wrong policy; and few who realise their faults already committed have the courage to take a

fresh departure.

In pursuing a policy of expediency England has some advantages over Meditations other States, and should be studious to in the Tea make the most of them. It is by some reproached to our Constitution that it disables us from pursuing a "consistent foreign policy." Now it is just here that I see one of its chief excellencies. We can lead a scrupulous public to commit or sanction gross breaches of faith, by persuading them that to break a promise made by their political opponents is equivalent to observing one given by themselves. We can reverse our policy by changing our Ministers, and at the same time aver that we have called so and so to the head of affairs because such a one had not rightly represented our views; the fact being, that we have altered our opinion as to what our interests demand. It is excusable in the Red Indian that he is puzzled by the nonobservance of the covenants made with him by the United States, because he cannot be expected to understand that "ministerial responsibility" national absolution from the performance of distasteful engagements; but it is lamentable that this doctrine is ignored by many in more favoured

countries, even to our own. "La

Meditations perfide Albion" must remain entitled in the Tea to that name so long as we possess a Room Constitutional Government; for perfidy, which was an accident under Philip of Macedon, is inevitable under Victoria. Though an absolute monarch may occasionally be treacherous-or gifted with a hundred other vices-yet few of these have not thought it disgraceful to have their promises slighted, even by themselves. But the dignity of a populace is not so easily touched, and a free nation will never be very fearful of incurring blame which, being distributed over many millions of wrong-doers, is by no one felt as personally degrading. The difference in this respect between an absolute and a limited monarchy, is exactly analogous to that which distinguishes a private merchant from a limited company. As there is a keeper of the King's conscience, whose office dates from the period when public acts were done at the will and pleasure of the sovereign-so now would there be a Minister doing such duty for the nation had the nation any conscience to bekept.

This matterisnicely put by Sir Francis Palgrave where he says, "The liability

т86

incurred by the nation is refracted through so many media, that it is dis- Meditations persed before reaching the foot of the in the Tea throne."

It merely remains to add that the media are changed from time to timelike the charcoal of filters—and they and the odium they have collected are

thrown away together.

It is in a manner the misfortune of States that they must constantly exchange treaties and understandings. just as private persons send newspapers and Christmas-cards to distant acquaintances, merely to show that no rupture has yet occurred between them. It is not often unfair to disregard any obligation which may be insisted on as arising out of such a correspondence; since the bad faith is in fact on the part of those who claim the performance of a promise made in mere courtesy. Besides this, a nation which makes any concession to another, and receives no real equivalent, is always in such a position that by municipal law the grant might be avoided on the ground of duress. Few reputations are so good as that of Joan of Arc, yet she has earned from France eternal gratitude, and from the Pope perhaps

X in the Tea

canonisation, for having enabled her Meditations country to abolish the Treaty of Troyes Room —I hardly know who would blame the Empress-Queen for avoiding a treaty made with Frederick the Great: and the engagement to keep Napoleon on the Isle of Elba was hardly a good consideration to him for a promise to stay there.

Though immemorial usage would seem to show that but slight blame attaches to statesmen who in the interest of their country do not scruple to break the most solemn engagements, yet there has of late years been some complaint of the little regard paid to their word by sovereigns and their advisers. In truth, the telling of lies is a practice which, though some have condemned it, many have in all time defended; insomuch that Grotius, in naming the philosophers who have justified this form of deception, mentions Socrates, Plato, Xenophon, and Cicero, together with the Stoics "qui inter sapientis dotes ponunt mentiri ubi et quomodo oportet."

Honesty is disgusting to many men of fine feeling because it is represented as a good investment.

NE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT matters in connection with a Meditations foreign policy is the forming of alli- in the Tea ances, whether holy or profane. These, whatever may be the pretext for them, are really made to check the growth of political friendships between the allied States and certain others which they are suspected to be predisposed to consort with. The first point necessary to an alliance is therefore distrust; and it is because this element is seldom or never wanting between States that compacts of the most affectionate character are so easily made; and it is for the same reason that they so soon fall to pieces. Those whose interests really coincide will support each other without any formal agreement so long as the coincidence endures, and mere allies will never co-operate longer than suits their convenience.

Too much fear has often been felt in England at rumours of secret pacts binding certain sovereigns to give one another all help against all comers. In effect, such confederacies, being founded on mutual differences, are of little more utility than was the companionship of the collier and the fuller in housekeeping. Yet it is not easy to

189

XI in the Tea Room

reject an alliance if another State pro-Meditations pose it; though much offence may be given elsewhere if a treaty be openly made. The safest course, perhaps, is to conclude the alliance suggested, and carefully to conceal it. If afterwards it becomes necessary to recede from the engagement, this will appear unrighteous to those only who suffer through such action being taken; for no one is ever offended by acts which redound to his advantage, though some, to save appearances, may profess to regret their occurrence.

Moreover, he who brings to light an underhand negotiation, and refuses to be bound by his promises made in the course of it, will often be credited with repentance, and received among the most rigidly righteous with all those the due of sinners who

recant.

He who is judicious will take care rather to offend the good and generous than the ill-conditioned and unscrupulous; since the former will often forbear when they have the most just cause of offence, and will find in an attitude of dignified reproach all that satisfaction which only warfare and spoil can afford to the latter.

Where three are hostile to one another, there is always ground to Meditations expect an alliance of two.

in the Tea Room

It very frequently happens that to make an enemy among nations is the surest way to gain a friend; and if one does not fear to take this course, he may even turn several of his foes into useful allies. I hardly know a better example of this than is given by Colbert in his "Testament Politique," where he reminds—or perhaps informs—the king of the royal behaviour towards England, in these words: "Vous fûtes obligé cependant de reconnoitre le Gouvernement présent d'Angleterre; quoi que votre inclination et votre justice vous portassent à protéger celui qui en était le Prince légitime; mais le procédé des Espagnols, qui prétendoient se prévaloir de l'aversion que vous témoigniez pour l'usurpation de Cromwel, et faire un traité avec lui à votre préjudice, vous fit recourir au seul moyen qui vous restoit pour vous mettre à convert de leur méchante volonté. Vous lui offrites votre médiation pour terminer à l'aimable le différent qui était survenu entre l'Angleterre et la Hollande, et que XI vous étiez bien aise d'assoupir, parce Meditations que vos ennemis en pouvoient tirer de in the Tea l'avantage."

King Louis did us a good turn in this matter; but who were his friends?

\* \*

It is surely only fair to recognise in favour of evil intentions that they sometimes confer more benefits than the best. TO BE UNJUST TO MOST MEN, XII is to appear generous to the Meditations in the Tea Room

\* \*

To act fairly by our friends is the surest way to offend them. But less than his due will often satisfy an enemy.

\* \*

Respect is only an accidental liking for those whose interests are in conflict with our own.

\* \*

Peace has few charms for those who cannot employ it in celebrating their triumphs in war.

\* \*

The success of the teaching that we are not to be proud when we do right, is shown by our glorying in what cannot pass for virtues.

\* \*

The inequalities of rankare peculiarly gratifying to the lower classes, who delight in being able to attribute to social inferiority those misfortunes

XII which often are the result of in Meditations capacity or idleness.

Room \*

Whatmost recommends partygovernment is that it enables us to slander our rulers without sedition, and overthrow them without treason.

\* \*

Reforms are more to be dreaded than revolutions; for they cause less reaction.

\* \*

Many men do not change their opinions, because those opinions held too long change them.

T FOLLOWS FROM THERE being no controlling power to Meditations which one State may appeal for re- in the Tea dress of injuries suffered at the hands of another, that each nation must, so far as it can, right its own wrongswhich means inflict others. This process cannot long have gone on between States of unequal force without its becoming necessary to employ deception to obtain delay, to provoke attack, or to find a pretext for quarrels already resolved upon. The nice question when to forsake a friend, or at what point one should begin to love one's enemies, naturally called into existence a new science, and a body of experts skilled in its mysteries.

I shall say nothing of that part of diplomacy which consists in making truthful statements concerning past events, or promises which it is intended literally to fulfil; for it is insignificant. But it is worth while to consider diplomacy in that wider field where bad faith, interest, anger, and folly, provide so much for it to do or to prevent; and especially we may inquire whether our country is justified in using so little and so clumsily arts by which others have greatly profited.

XIII Room

We recognise that diplomacy is Meditations legitimate; and-provided they effect in the Tea nothing—we do not mind paying its professors liberally. Yet, should they gain us some advantage without having announced their intention of doing so, the public is straightway frightened and irritated. We do not object to our agents making use of falsehood in the conventional manner, but we are terribly shocked if it chance that they are believed; because it is not possible to deceive a foreign Power without for a time misleading the public at home.

It is one-and not the least-of the difficulties in which a thoroughly Constitutional Government finds itself that it is unable to make use of diplomacy in its integrity. There is a sort of escamotage to which publicity is ruinyet the escamoteurs of England are at every turn called upon to show that they understand their business by explaining its intricacies to employers. Our diplomacy must all be conducted as it is upon the stage when we are called upon to study the wiles of a Richelieu or Alberoni. Much conversation may be had with the envoy from the foreign Power, and it is expected to be carried on in whispers 196

amidst conspicuous precautions against eaves-dropping; but there must be no Meditations mystification of the pit and gallery in the Tea The asides must be loudly spoken, and we must have plenty of them. The envoy of the foreign Power of course hears them all; though he has address enough to appear to listen to something else, to flirt with a woman, or to converse amicably with some gentleman of the Court.

Deceit-like another virtue or vice, according to circumstances—should begin at home; for even if the public at large should chance to understand how advantageous it may be sometimes to pretend that we are misled by some trick of our enemy, they are yet too many to keep a calm countenance. It is therefore necessary for them to be deceived by their own negotiators, that they may not by their demeanour discover the truth to the enemy. But impatience to know the end, or to make it, too often prevails over every other consideration; the seed is dug up that the process of vegetation may be explored, and the fruit is lost which otherwise had come in its season. That we should not be deceived, and that often, is impossible; IIIX Room

for foreigners we cannot compel to Meditations take us into their secrets, and that we in the Tea can make a few of them tell us something is an excellent reason for many of their communications being untrue. Countless operations are open to foreign statesmen, by which the al fresco nature of our own statecraft does not allow us

to profit.

When Cayour sent the Sardinian army to fight in our quarrels against Russia, we thought it very friendly of him, and perhaps a little eccentric, so we called it chivalrous. But probably not two men in Piedmont suspected more than the simple grenadiers of Britain, or the careless voltigeurs of France, that nothing but the crown of Italy was being won in the trenches of Sebastopol. Had the Italians been informed what they were to gain, it is certain they never would have got it.

Yet I would not choose to see amongst us any of that dinner-table diplomacy where a princess asks a monarch for a peach and a province in the same breath; but if our people would extend to the conduct of public business a little of that faith which annually goes to the sowing of turnips,

198

the harvest would, I venture to think, repay them for their confidence. Meditations Although the suffrage stands now at a Room very genteel level, I am not convinced that a majority of the voters can afford any help in detecting the real meaning which underlies the ambiguous memoranda and despatches of kings and princes—even when these documents have been freely translated English, and enriched with the comments of Fleet Street. Moreover, one of the main objects of diplomacy is to commit your adversary to all the consequences of a false move while silently taking measures to counteract it as soon as it is perceived. But a chorus of delight from a hundred platforms gives timely notice if we think we see the game of our opponents; and English statesmen are compelled to fence in a full light, while their antagonists circle about them protected by a dubious chiaroscuro.

The contempt in which our diplomatists have long been held on the Continent is to be traced to some extent to our dislike of subtle negotiations, and our talent for giving and taking hard knocks; but much of it is the plain result of our choosing to set

XIII in the Tea Room

at defiance the rules of the game we Meditations play. I do not doubt that some amongst us are not displeased if they read in Philipe de Comines how it was a common saying in his time that the English lost by treaties what they had gained by arms, whenever they met the negotiators of France-a fact which some writers have traced to the changeableness of our climate, by which they conceive that our character and all our institutions have been formed. It may be that the dulness and fickleness so often charged against us do at length begin to wear off-by reason, perhaps, of our frequent tours abroad; for it is true that we have of late years made some show of skill in concluding treaties concerning beetroots, ribbons, and light wines; yet here we seem rather to have instructed our neighbours in political economy than over-reached them in wit.

But putting out of the question our national peculiarities of character, we can hardly hope with our present Constitution to equal in the art of negotiation those Powers whose government is in the hands of one or a privileged persons. We recognise that. for offensive purposes, we are by land 200

weak, and we do not hesitate to admit that they speak with reason who Meditations compare us with a fish, and bid us not in the Tea interfere in the quarrels of such dogs as are not of the sea. Now between the armies of Germany and of England there is not more difference than distinguishes our force in diplomacy from theirs. And, though all agree that we are bound to copy their drillbooks, their maps, and their helmets, no one has hinted that we should systematically study and imitate those works of the great masters in diplomacy, which almost every country in Europe has produced. If we have to meet in war a bold enemy or a timid, a cunning or a simple, we seek to adapt our strategy and tactics to the occasion, and do not complain of our adversary for fighting in his own way. But should we have to deal with a nation given to fraud and trickery in affairs, we walk into every ambush, and set none in return; or, if some energetic officer do so unbidden, we blame him and discharge him, but keep the captives he has made.

Perhaps there were some comfort to be found in the reflection that in those manœuvres which, doubtless, were first

XIII

invented by feeble States to enable Meditations them to preserve their lives against the in the Tea strong, we are unskilled because we Room have no necessity to know or to practise them. But when the strongest nations are, as now we see them, the most accomplished in diplomacy, it is time to cease to console ourselves with anything less than a mastery of the science we have hitherto neglected. Without professing to enter minutely into the subjects upon which we need instruction. I cannot leave out of sight one or two matters to which it is indeed time that our attention were turned.

> And, first, it must strike any one who has seen what has been passing of late years how important a part is played by those inventions termed "accomplished facts." The contention that all that occurs subsequently must have an influence on prior engagements, has never been so fully recognised as now; though it is long since Seneca wrote that "Omnia esse debent eadem quæ fuerint cum promitterem ut promittentis fidem teneas." Concerning the "accomplished fact," and how to produce it, whole treatises might be written; but it is enough to suggest 202

that much accomplishes itself if a great Power will it so, and we can easily Meditations supply our diplomatists with this Room weapon so soon as they shall have learned how to handle it.

Then, if there be any one thing profitable in diplomacy which we ignore more than another, it is humour. Never since the Locrians, having sworn to keep their compact as long as they stood upon earth and had heads upon their shoulders, put earth in their shoes, and emptied it out again, throwing away the heads of garlic which they had placed on their shoulders—never have treaties been so jocosely broken as While Berlin is a very centre of cynic wit—while jests fly merrily about the East, -- England alone adds nothing to the fun. That we shall always be honest, even fairly so, in the midst of laxity and deceit, I cannot believe. My fear is that we may some day be tempted to commit robbery with violence. But surely it were better to cheat at cards or dice, laughing the while, than to play fairly till our stake is lost to sharpers, and then assault and despoil them on their road home with the plunder.

It is unfair to others that we let them

XIII Meditations in the Tea Room

out-witus; for later we exact retribution for the injury our own stupidity has brought upon us—when, had we taken the trouble to be suspicious, we should have come to no harm.

If there be any remedy for our weakness in diplomacy, it is to be found, I think, in the extension of that power of party which is so admired a portion of our form of government. It was possible for absolute monarchs to avail themselves of those talents which formerly were brought to perfection amongst the little States of Italy; and those whose battles at home were fought by mercenaries became free companions ready to wage the diplomatic wars of other nations. Free parliamentary institutions are incompatible with the employment of such aid as this; yet we cannot expect Englishmen, prejudiced in favour of the faction to which they and their families belong, to strive by every artifice which a county election or a party division would call forth to support a policy they dislike for the benefit of a Ministry they would gladly overthrow. Only, however, let our respective parties govern abroad as thoroughly as they are permitted to do at home, 204

only let them be served as devotedly at every foreign Court as they are now Meditations in each municipal council, and English in the Tea diplomacy would flourish as it never yet has done. Party government if good is surely best when most complete; yet we, who boast of being its inventors, permit a Liberal ambassador to present the remonstrance of a Tory administration, while an American Republican will not allow a Democrat to send his letters by the post.



THE MEMBER WHO CAN XIV command himself will serve his Meditations party—and he who can command his in the Tea party will serve himself.

\* \*

A pure election is the result of one of two feelings—fear or fanaticism.

\* \*

To convince a poor voter by the common argument of promised reforms is merely to corrupt him with hope.

\* \*

No party will willingly complain of those grievances which its opponents are likely to redress.

\* \*

Civil war is ordinarily more excusable than any other; for in such strife few are killed who have not quarrelled—and for the best of reasons—that their interests are the same.

\* \*

Men would be great criminals did they need as many laws as they make.

XIV The most humiliating knowledge for Meditations mankind is that which tells us we can in the Tea destroy nothing. We may, indeed, Room change somewhat the aspect of things, and vanish; but time—which is eternal—may restore all, even ourselves.

\* \*

It is curious to observe how laboriously they here waste their time who have no belief in eternity.

\* \*

Allies are but enemies having some common foe.

\* \*

Peace is the slumber of nations.

\* \*

Ancestral glory sounds their réveil.

"HOW, IN THE NAME OF XV goodness, comes all this, or Meditations for that matter a single word of it, to in the Tea have anything to do with those halfpence which at the first you professed to consider?" may be asked by some one who can so long remember so small a coin.

How indeed, I reply; except that, if you throw one of them into the air, this whole world of ours, and all thereon, will move to meet it, unless some one happens to be playing chuckfarthing at the Antipodes.

Such is the power of money!

PRINTED AT
THE BALLLANTYNE PRESS
LONDON





This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.

MAY 31 1987



AA 001 308 434 8

K 50 Al D249 1914

